council on environmental quality

102 monitor

environmental impact statements

Volume 5, No. 10
November, 1975

CEQ RELEASED DELAWARE RIVER BASIN STUDY

During 300 years of development, the Delaware River Basin has been the focus of a range of environmental issues. These issues, as they apply to this one geographic area, are the subject of CEQ's study The Delaware River Basin: An Environmental Assessment of Three Centuries of Change. (page 3)

CEQ RECOMMENDS RECREATIONAL USE OF RESERVOIRS

Recent technological advances can be used to eliminate public health risks from expanded recreation in water supply reservoirs, according to the CEQ report, Recreation on Water Supply Reservoirs, A Handbook for Increased Use. The handbook considers the recreational policy of six northeastern states and includes guidelines to help determine which recreational activities are appropriate at specific sites. (page 8)

PETERSON ADVISED CAUTION ON PUBLIC FORESTS

"Within two decades or so, projected demand for forest products will outrun annual harvest, both on privately owned timber land and on the publicly owned lands managed by the Forest Service," said CEQ Chairman Russell W. Peterson in a speech before the American Forestry Association. However, intensive, high-yield management which may be used to forestall the shortage may also have adverse effects on long-term productivity. (page 12)

CONTENTS

The Delaware River Basin: An Environmental Assessment of Three Centuries of Change	3
Recreation on Water Supply Reservoirs, A Handbook for Increased Use	8
Management or Gamble? Statement by Chairman Peterson	12
State-County Index of EISs filed during October, 1975	25
Agency Index of EISs filed during October, 1975	32
EISs filed with CEQ during October, 1975	37
Cummulative Summary	85
EPA Listings	86
Subscription Information	106

CEQ
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY • 722 JACKSON PLACE NW • WASHINGTON DC 20006

News Release

FOR RELEASE: Sunday AM's, November 2, 1975

Contact: John R. Fogarty (202) 382-1235
(301) 652-7306

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN IS STUDIED BY COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

The Council on Environmental Quality today announced publication of The Delaware River Basin: An Environmental Assessment of Three Centuries of Change.

"The 87-page report is an analysis of how a broad range of environmental issues impacts on the varied environments of one geographical region," Dr. Russell W. Peterson, Chairman of the Council on Environmental Quality said in releasing the report.

"The Delaware River Basin was chosen because it has endured centuries of use by man, because conflicts over limited land and water resources have arisen there in many different contexts, because it is a region of great variety, and because governments and citizens there are beginning to find innovative ways to solve environmental problems," Dr. Peterson said.

He explained that the report describes water resources and water uses in the Delaware River Basin, the successful efforts to improve the area's air quality, environmental problems in the cities, patterns of growth and sprawl in the suburbs, the recreation land boom in the mountains, and emerging conflicts among recreation, oil and gas-related industrialization, and traditional life styles in the Delaware Bay area.

"Efforts are made in the report to interrelate problems of pollution, growth, and land use," Dr. Peterson said. "Although the study will be of special interest to residents of the Delaware River Basin, in many ways the analysis and description of impacts are relevant to readers in other parts of the country."

Dr. Peterson pointed out that the report which "looks at the impact of man on the river from the earliest settlements, and also identifies the tough decisions on environmental issues the region must make in coming years, is particularly timely as this historic region celebrates the bicentennial of the American Revolution."

"This past summer an important event occurred when the Governors of three of the four states in the Delaware River Basin voted against further funding for the Tocks Island Dam -- a controversial project which had thrown into limbo much of the planning for the upper half of the Basin," Dr. Peterson said.

"Now for the first time in many years, coherent and cohesive decisions can be made by the people on important issues of land and water use that were left unresolved while the debate over the dam went on. Hopefully, this report can be useful to their efforts," he said.

Dr. Peterson, who served as Governor of Delaware from 1969 to 1973, said that the study of the Delaware River Basin started before he became CEQ Chairman in December of 1973.

The Delaware River Basin

An Environmental Assessment of Three Centuries of Change

Prepared by the Council on Environmental Quality
August 1975

Preface

Most reports and studies by Federal agencies look at how a single issue or a narrow range of issues affects the entire Nation. The reader is often left with the task of applying the information to his own locale as well as with the dilemma of how the subject of the report relates to what else is going on in his community. This report is not like that. Instead it examines one geographic region of the country and shows how a broad range of issues—in this case the full gamut of environmental concerns—is expressed, discussed, and, it is hoped, resolved in light of their conflicts with each other and with other goals and policies at work in the same region. In taking this approach, we hope to provide insight for people everywhere about how environmental issues interrelate with, support, or conflict with the other things that our society is trying to do.

We chose the Delaware River Basin for a number of reasons. First, the region has been at the cutting edge of many of the environmental concerns facing America. Its water and air were among the first to be heavily polluted. It has some of our oldest cities, cities that were completely changed by 19th century industrialization, European immigration, migration of blacks from the South, and the growth of residences and industries in the suburbs. Its exclusive "Main Line" suburbs and its Levittowns were among the first of their kind in America. Its mountain areas are among the most severely impacted by the "recreation boom." And with the energy shortage, it is once again the Delaware River Basin that appears to be a prime candidate for the first of a new generation of superports, offshore oil operations, and associated industrial development.

The second reason is closely related to the first: Serious conflicts over limited land and water resources, similar to those that many other regions will face in the future, have already begun to hit the area. It is clear, for example, that all 7 million residents of the Delaware Valley cannot have vacation homes in the Poconos without destroying the environment that attracts people there. Likewise, conflicts being experienced along the Delaware Bay among petroleum-related industrial development, recreational development spurred by success in controlling pollution upstream, and the traditional life of farmers, villagers, and oystermen are precursors of the hard choices that many other coastal areas will be making in coming decades.

Another reason for studying the Delaware is that the environment is so varied. It has forested mountains, checkerboard farmlands, massive urban industrial complexes, extensive wetlands, and small fishing villages. The river itself varies from fast mountain stream to rural river to busy polluted waterway to expansive marsh and bay, all within 300 miles.

The final reason is that government and citizens in the Delaware River Basin are looking for solutions and are beginning to find some ways to turn around downward trends. Especially impressive are the scope and variety of new laws and institutions to coordinate and direct the efforts of the 4 States, 27 counties, dozens of cities, and at least 1,000 local governments that make up the area. Although not all the answers have been found, there are ideas and arrangements at work here that could and should be tried elsewhere.

When the Industrial Revolution began in the United States 150 years ago, Americans had a singular vision of their country's future: maximum growth. Today that vision is clouded, for a growing number of Americans are troubled

by the excesses that accompany uncontrolled growth. All around them they find the unanticipated (and unwelcome) consequences of development decisions. Industry was located near a river for easy transportation and a ready water supply, but as a result the river is unfit for fish and people. A major highway bypass cuts through a pastoral valley to avoid the city, but soon a shopping center grows up at the interchange, old barns are razed, and suddenly the beauty of the valley is gone. In each case, something was gained initially, but subsequently much—perhaps too much—was lost.

Our look at the Delaware shows that development goals continue to run headlong into environmental goals. With change occurring at a bewildering scale and speed, the challenge is to accommodate needed and inevitable development without ruining the environment or degrading the quality of our lives.

The purpose of this report is to point out the kinds of choices that will need to be made. The future of the Delaware River Basin—and of other parts of the country—will be shaped by the decisions that are made over the next few years. Particularly important are decisions about how we will use our land. Those decisions, as this report shows, dictate the other environmental effects. The message here is that time is running out.

Russell W. Peterson

RUSSELL W. PETERSON, *Chairman*

John A. Busterud

JOHN A. BUSTERUD

Beatrice E. Willard

BEATRICE E. WILLARD

Recreation on Water Supply Reservoirs

A Handbook for Increased Use

Sponsored by the Council on Environmental Quality

The Issue in Brief

As our urban population grows and development spreads into the countryside, opportunities for outdoor recreation have become both more difficult to find and more urgently needed. Recreation facilities close to population centers are often crowded, and access to more distant natural sites is difficult. People are less able to enjoy the pleasures of natural areas and find the relief from urban pressures which contact with the natural world can provide.

Close to many cities lie beautiful water supply reservoirs, protected over the years by careful watershed management. In most regions of our nation, recreation of all forms is permitted on these reservoirs. Yet in the Northeastern states, where millions of Americans are concentrated, public access to reservoirs and the surrounding lands is generally restricted or prohibited altogether. This handbook is designed to inform citizens of the Northeast of their opportunity to make use of these reservoirs for outdoor recreation.

In the nineteenth century, the Northeastern states pioneered water treatment and supply technology in this country. Standard practice called for rigid restrictions on public access to watershed lands and the water itself. This practice was very successful in protecting public health. No conflict with public recreational needs existed because cities were smaller and nearby rivers, lakes, and streams were less polluted.

Today the situation has changed. Development has spread into many watersheds in the Northeast. New and exotic substances are finding their way into our water supplies. Water which was recently pure is now known to contain viruses, pesticides, fertilizers, salts, heavy metals, and other substances potentially damaging to health.

Fortunately, drinking water technology is now capable of treating and purifying water at relatively low cost. Standard water supply practice recognizes the need for more extensive treatment of all surface supplies. These improvements in water treatment technology make most forms of recreation — including water contact activities such as swimming — completely compatible with the production of safe, high quality drinking water. Hence unfulfilled demands for recreation near major urban areas could be met through greater use of these beautiful reservoirs.

Although modern water treatment can eliminate risks to public health from expanded recreation, old traditions stand in the way of increased recreational use of water supply reservoirs. Four of the states considered in this handbook — Massachusetts, Connecticut, Rhode Island and New York — have laws and regulations which prohibit any form of body contact recreation in water supply reservoirs. The other two — New Jersey and Pennsylvania — limit the activities available.

The managers of water supply systems have little reason to expand recreational opportunities at the reservoirs they operate. Water managers are

usually isolated from agencies responsible for public recreation. They are often concerned that the cost of increased recreation would be paid out of their budget with no assurance of increased revenues to maintain production of safe drinking water. They anticipate added managerial problems and even criminal liability for accidents. From their point of view, recreation is of no benefit to their primary responsibility and, therefore, they resist change.

Whether to allow recreation on water supply reservoirs and the adjacent land is an old controversy in professional circles. This handbook is not another study of that subject. Rather, it is an educational tool, written in non-technical language, for citizens interested in the recreational uses of water supply reservoirs and the broader issues of water supply as well.

This handbook suggests the need for new policies under which advanced treatment of drinking water becomes standard and recreation on and near reservoirs is encouraged. Properly managed recreation is now one of the most important uses of watershed lands, a use which maximizes public benefits from land which must be specially protected. But such change in the established policies is a political choice. This handbook is designed to assist the public in making that choice.

The choice must be based on knowledge of local conditions. While this handbook presents information and guidelines for planning increased recreation, each reservoir is likely to be unique in some respects. Therefore the appropriate solution for individual reservoirs must be analyzed with care.

This handbook is divided into three chapters. The first chapter describes the issue in its past and present context. It outlines the history of water supply in the United States with emphasis on the progress of water treatment and describes the present situation in the Northeastern states through case studies. It concludes with a summary of the major concerns which have dominated the controversy.

The remaining chapters present reference material for planning increased recreation. Chapter Two summarizes the relevant laws and regulations of the six most populous states in the Northeast — Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania. These densely populated states have extensive reservoir systems which could provide valuable recreational opportunities.

Chapter Three provides information useful for planning recreation at reservoirs. Different forms of recreation are examined in terms of their suitability and their compatibility. Guidelines are suggested to help determine which activities are appropriate at a specific site. Costs and cost recovery mechanisms are presented, and institutional constraints on recreation are examined.

Appendix I provides a technical description of the capabilities of water treatment technologies to remove contaminants commonly associated with recreation. The recent controversy concerning drinking water quality and chlorination is discussed in that chapter as well.

Appendix II contains supplementary reference material on reservoir recreation in each of the six states discussed in Chapter Two, including transcripts of the relevant portions of state laws.

A Glossary of terms and an annotated bibliography complete the handbook.

ORDERING INFORMATION

-The Delaware River Basin: An Environmental Assessment of Three Centuries of Change
Stock No. 4111-00018
Price $1.55

-Recreation on Water Supply Reservoirs: A Handbook for Increased Use
Stock No. 041-011-00027-1
Price $2.05

November 15, 1975

NOTICE

Prices shown were in effect on the above date. Government documents' prices are subject to change without prior notice. Therefore, prices in effect when your order is filled may differ from prices on this list. Since it is not feasible to change prices shown in Government documents in print, the price printed in a document may differ from the price in effect when your order is processed.

MAIL ORDER FORM To:

Assistant Public Printer (Superintendent of Documents), Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

Enclosed find $..... (check, money order, or Supt. of Documents coupons).
Please send me the publications I have checked.

Please charge this order to my Deposit Account No. .....

Name .....
Street address .....
City and State ..... ZIP Code .....

FOR USE OF SUPT. DOCS.
Enclosed.....
To be mailed later.....
Subscription.....
Refund.....
Coupon refund.....
Postage.....
Foreign handling.....

FOR PROMPT SHIPMENT, PLEASE **PRINT OR TYPE** ADDRESS ON LABEL BELOW, INCLUDING **YOUR ZIP CODE**

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
ASSISTANT PUBLIC PRINTER
(SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS)
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20402

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

Name .....
Street address .....
City and State ..... ZIP Code .....

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
375
SPECIAL FOURTH-CLASS RATE
BOOK

CEQ
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY • 722 JACKSON PLACE NW • WASHINGTON DC 20006

News Release

Remarks of The Honorable Russell W. Peterson
Chairman, The President's Council on Environmental Quality
American Forestry Association
Statler Hilton Hotel, Washington, D. C.

For Release: 2 P.M., October 7, 1975

Contact: John Fogarty, 202 382-1235

MANAGEMENT OR GAMBLE?

The Need for Caution on Public Forests

It is routine courtesy for every speaker to express his pleasure at being invited to address an audience. Your invitation, however, brought me more than routine pleasure, inasmuch as this year is such an important one in the history of the American Forestry Association. Yours is, I believe, the oldest National conservation organization in the United States -- a forerunner, in a sense, to the public concerns that led to the creation of the Council on Environmental Quality. Hence, I am honored at being permitted to share this 100th birthday celebration with you.

'Way back in 450 B.C., Artaxerxes I, King of Persia, tried to restrict cutting of the legendary cedars of Lebanon. My modest research does not indicate whether Artaxerxes succeeded in this endeavor, but at least it proves that governmental regulation of the forest industry has a long history.

It has also, I was surprised to learn, a somewhat violent one. In 1772, the residents of Weare, New Hampshire, rioted when an officer of the King attempted to confiscate 270 pine logs reserved to provide masts for His Majesty's ships; on that occasion, two armed regiments had to be dispatched to enforce the law. By 1853, we had managed to change our government -- but not our intense feelings about forests. In that year, an official from the Land Office in Washington barely escaped lynching when he tried to repossess some timber that had been cut from government-owned land around Manistee, Michigan. The government's answer, again, was force: armed sailors from the USS Michigan had to be landed to restore order. And earlier this year, following a state court ruling that restricted the cutting of redwood trees, Governor Brown of California was decapitated in effigy.

Decapitation in effigy is, to be sure, a vast improvement over an actual lynching. Nevertheless, as a government official preparing to talk about a resource that has stimulated so much controversy in the past, I must confess to a certain tingling sensation around my neck -- particularly when it is clear that we are building to more confrontation about timber in the near future.

Between 1942 and 1972, the U.S. demand for wood and wood products increased by 65 percent. According to the U.S. Forest Service, that demand will double again by the year 2000. At

present, annual growth of timber in the U.S. exceeds cutting -- but that surplus condition won't last long. Within two decades or so, projected demand for forest products will outrun annual harvest, both on privately owned timber land and on the publicly owned lands managed by the Forest Service.

That day of reckoning is being hastened by the rapid cutting of old-growth timber on privately owned lands in the West. This makes short-term economic sense from a corporate standpoint, no doubt; stockholders in a private lumber corporation might support this rapid cutting.

But as a stockholder in a public lumber trust--the 92 million acres of our National Forests -- the rapid harvest of old-growth trees on private lands gives me considerable anxiety. Inevitably, industry will reach the point when their old-growth stands have been completely cut, and the new stands have yet to achieve maturity. Lumber productivity will fall off sharply, there will be a mounting gap between supply and demand, and industry will have to come after <u>our</u> lands -- the forests that you and I and 213 million other Americans own in joint partnership. Heavy pressure will be placed on Congress and the Administration to increase the allowable cut on Forest Service lands.

Indeed, there are already signs of this mounting pressure. As you know, the Panel on Timber and the Environment recommended,

in its 1973 report to the President, that average cutting on four western forests be boosted 39 percent. Industry sources also urge -- as reflected in the "Green Paper" advertisements currently being sponsored by the American Forest Institute -- that productivity on Federal lands be increased through intensive, high-yield forestry.

On their face, these proposals seem quite plausible. The Forest Service admits that the land under its management produces 50 percent less wood fiber per acre than industry-owned lands. Timber is a commercially valuable natural resource, and in a time of economic slump, it would seem desirable to boost productivity in every way we can. It's relatively easy to place a monetary value on wood and wood products, to total employment figures for the industry, and to point out, in short, the enormous economic value that forestry contributes to the nation. On the other hand, it's extremely difficult to place a dollar-figure on such other forest values as recreation, protection of wildlife habitat, and aesthetics.

Thus, the private timber industry will have several powerful arguments to present to Congress, the Administration, and the public when it urges an increase in allowable cut. Those who support the conservative harvest policies now followed by the Forest Service will undoubtedly be criticized as Utopian visionaries; increasing productivity and harvest on the Forest lands, by contrast, will be seen as the hard-headed, no-nonsense, practical thing to do.

My remarks today will tend toward the Utopian, visionary point of view. What I want to argue, however, is that a conservative attitude toward our use of the public forests is the hard-headed, no-nonsense, practical course to take.

Let me begin by pointing out that there are excellent reasons for the comparatively low productivity of Forest Service lands. The industry owns more productive lands, their stands are younger and more vigorous, and the intensive forestry practices used by industry produce wood faster. These practices include growing stands of trees all of the same age; artificial planting -- generally of one species, thus establishing a monoculture; control of competing vegetation by fire, chemical, and other means; use of chemicals such as pesticides and fertilizers; the breeding of new genetic strains of "super" trees; and proper thinning as the stand matures.

The Forest Service also employs these practices, but generally to a lesser extent. The principal reason is that timber production is only one of the uses mandated for the public forests by law. In 1970, the Public Land Law Review Commission tried to alter this concept of "multiple-use" by recommending that some public lands should be "classified for timber production as the dominant use." Environmentalists protested this recommendation, and the Forest Service has never adopted it.

Yet the conservative, multiple-use policies of the Forest Service could be changed by law. It would be well, therefore, to consider the possible impact that a switch to intensive, high-yield management might have on our public forests.

You know what the common objections to high-yield forestry are. First among them is its tendency to favor monoculture: to promote extensive stands of single species of trees, of the same age. A basic principle of ecology is that diverse ecosystems are much more resistant to attack than ecosystems in which genetic variety has been cut to a minimum. Sometimes nature itself develops nearly pure stands of single tree species without man's intervention -- especially after fires; no matter how the monoculture is created, however, its susceptibility to disaster is heightened.

Modern agriculture depends on monoculture: our farmers grow fields of wheat or of corn or of sugar-beets, rather than simply tolerating whatever smorgasbord of crops nature sees fit to provide. But farmers learned that some hybrid strains, bred to provide man with the greatest amount of food, have gained that productivity at the expense of some self-protection. In 1970, 15 percent of the corn crop was lost when a high-yielding variety widely adopted in the southern states, proved unusually susceptible to leaf blight. The high-yielding varieties of Green Revolution wheat, remarkable as they are, have a high potential for widespread loss, owing to their genetic uniformity.

Silviculture, I realize, is not strictly analogous to the annual culture that characterizes most food-farming, and I do not mean to push a false parallel. The point I wish to make, rather, is this: an agriculture as varied and productive as that of the United States can weather a single year's crop failure. Agronomists can diagnose the difficulty fast, and -- usually -- supply a quick remedy. And consumers can eat cheap potatoes for a season instead of high-priced bread. But if foresters make a mistake with their crop, even the swiftest diagnosis may not repair the damage. If blight or a pest or a defect shows up when a stand of trees is 20 years old, we will be paying for that error for 20 years.

There are some suggestions that high-yield forestry is flirting with that kind of long-term, hard-to-reverse, error. Maximizing growth of the Douglas fir in a single forest, for example, requires suppression of the alder. Yet the alder fixes nitrogen, creating fertilizer with the aid of the sun, and passes it through the soil to the Douglas fir, which cannot nourish itself in this fashion. In addition, the alder -- it is widely believed -- suppresses root-rot, to which the Douglas fir is particularly susceptible when it is 20 or 25 years old. Indications are, in sum, that the alder and the Douglas fir are not entirely competitors, but partners.

Intensive, high-yield forestry need not, I realize, eliminate all other uses of forests. Progressive timber companies manage their own lands for multiple use, proving that high timber-

productivity is not necessarily incompatible with protection of watersheds and wildlife habitat, grazing, and recreational use. Nevertheless, multiple-use of any finite land system ultimately involves trade-offs: at some point, an increase in timber harvest must be purchased at the expense of one or more other values. And some practices necessary to accelerated management will compel tradeoffs that we may not anticipate.

For example, mature or dead trees, and logs, are essential for some forms of forest wildlife. Fully 40 percent of forest bird species nest in cavities in dead trees and logs. Snags in national forests in California are used by 30 species of birds, 20 species of mammals, and thousands of other organisms, many of which are primary food for higher forms of wildlife. If timber production were to dictate the removal of dead trees and snags on a large scale, species of birds and other forms of wildlife that require mature forests might be reduced to relic populations.

In addition, accelerated management frequently calls for the extensive use of herbicides, to control competing plants and thus stimulate the growth of young trees. But the use of herbicides also short-cuts the successional stages of a forest -- the series of modifications that occurs naturally after forest-land has been cleared. Each stage in this natural succession is accompanied by changes in soil condition, by a different set of plants, and by different species of wildlife that feed on the plants. Probably 80 percent of wildlife in eastern and western forests -- including deer -- are successional; they cannot exist in dense forests whose floors have been swept clean of understory by chemicals.

These are some of the impacts that we can anticipate from intensive, high-yield forestry. But if there is a single lesson from ecology that every citizen of the modern world should learn, it is that not all impacts <u>can</u> be anticipated. The earth has its own decision-making process -- its own stubborn, sometimes mysterious and often nasty way of responding to man's hopeful interventions. History gives us a right to take pride in the astounding ability of the human species to manipulate our environment for our own benefit. But ecology warns us to maintain a certain caution about the limits of human expertise, and the brevity of man's experience on this planet. When we talk about intensive, high-yield forestry, we are talking about a quite novel, still experimental intervention in an ecosystem that has been evolving for millions of years. Despite the achievement that high-yield forestry represents, it is well to exercise caution before extending it to the public lands -- for we have paid again and again a price for the misplaced certitude of experts.

Experts in civil engineering built the Aswan Dam; they knew about concrete and irrigation and the number of kilowatts that could be generated by a turbine-blade with a certain pressure from the Nile River behind it. But they didn't know about the water hyacinth and the aquatic snail and the blood-fluke, or what happens when an annual, turbulent flood is suddenly tamed to a quiet, smooth-flowing river. In consequence, millions of Egyptian farmers are infected with a debilitating disease called schistosomiasis. Experts built the St. Lawrence Seaway, which permitted ocean traffic to enter the Great Lakes from Atlantic ports;

they knew about the costs of transportation, the expense of shifting a cargo from a ship to a train to a truck, the problems of designing canal locks that would permit an ocean-going vessel to enter the Lakes. But they didn't know about the sea lamprey, which can swim better than any freighter, and which entered the Lakes along with the ships, destroying a fishing industry. Experts in chemistry developed phosphate detergents that enabled American women to wash washes whiter than white --but they didn't think about the capacity of municipal sewage systems to break down these new bubbles, and so, for a while, our waters were frothing at the mouth with an indigestible threat to our health.

Each of these examples reminds humans that our earth has its own response to our ingenuities, and that the best-laid plans of our experts have oft gone astray. I think the work that has been done in the development of high-yield forestry is admirable -- but I also believe that we have not yet had sufficiently extensive experience with it to adequately appraise all the risks it entails. Prudent risk is the name of the game in free enterprise, and industry is entitled to take those risks on its own lands. But the public lands are a public trust, and we are not entitled to gamble them on a promising but unproven technology.

Does that mean nothing should be done to ease the projected shortage of wood?

No. I think several steps can be taken. The Forest Service itself recognizes that it can improve timber-management on its own lands, without going to monocultures or adopting timber production as the dominant use. In response to the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974, the Forest Service has published a draft of alternate plans for the use and development of these resources out to the year 2020; this program should provide the best basis we have ever had for sound, public forest management. In addition, the Forest Service has begun testing a more liberal cutting policy on the Gifford Pinchot National Forest in Washington State. The crucial element in this decision was a long-term commitment of funds necessary to guarantee an accelerated program of reproduction and intensive timber culture. If this test proves successful, other national forests in the Pacific Northwest are likely to follow suit.

Better resource-use is another possibility, and the Forest Service is working on that. For example, its research arm -- in cooperation with HUD and the American Plywood Association -- has developed a new construction material made of the ground-up particles from the inner part of a log; this process has the potential of doubling the usable wood products derived from a tree. Another Forest Service project, the Sawmill Improvement Program, has documented increases in production of 11 percent through improved sawing and milling techniques.

Finally, we should find out about those legendary four million citizens whose woodlots compose 59 percent of our forested land but account for only 30 percent of the wood fiber. How many of their lots are large enough to merit commercial management? How many of those owners are interested in commercial production? We simply do not know enough about these four million to make sensible proposals regarding timber production on their land -- but that land is undoubtedly the sleeping giant of the timber industry. One private firm, of which I am aware, has initiated a program to help interested private owners make their holdings more productive. In return for its help, the company obtains the right to buy the timber at competitive prices.

Hence there are many ways of increasing timber production without increasing the allowable cut on public lands, or risking them on a high-yield management that concentrates on only one forest resource. We should not allow a projected wood shortage to panic us into reversing conservative policies on the forests that belong to all of us.

The Centennial of the American Forestry Association is in itself a reminder of the long public interest in forests, and an occasion for remembering how difficult it was for us to rescue some part of our national forest inheritance in the days when industry had no interest in replanting the lands it had cleared. But your centennial is also an opportunity to look forward. A century from now, your successors will look back and render a judgment on the quality of the stewardship your policies reflect.

You look back with pride at the work of your own predecessors, who did so much to protect our forests in days when even the Government was wasteful with them. I hope, in the coming conflict over supply and demand, you will continue to support a policy of long-term conservation, and reject the counsels of short-term convenience. We can and should learn to manage our public lands for greater productivity -- but we should not squander them, and we have no right to gamble them, for they are a trust we owe to the future.

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
		DRAFT	East Tennessee Natural Gas, Curtailment	51496	10-07-75	FPC
		DRAFT	Algonquin Gas Transmission Co., Curtailment	51504	10-09-75	FPC
		DRAFT	Coke Oven Emissions	51539	10-17-75	DLAB
		DRAFT	New Motorcycle Emissions Standards	51564	10-28-75	EPA
		DRAFT	Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corp., Curtailment	51566	10-28-75	FPC
		DRAFT	Co-60 Spark-Gap Irradiators, Licensing Exemption	51573	10-28-75	NRC
		FINAL	Deepwater Port Regulations	51475	10-03-75	DOT
		FINAL	North Country Trail	51497	10-07-75	DOI
		FINAL	LORAN-C Chain, West Coast/Gulf of Alaska	51513	10-14-75	DOT
		FINAL	International Phase of Ocean Drilling	51531	10-16-75	NSF
		FINAL	Mississippi River Transmission Corporation	51540	10-20-75	FPC
		FINAL	Emergency Watershed Protection Program	51572	10-28-75	USDA
Alabama		DRAFT	Columbus-Phenix City North Bypass	51499	10-07-75	FHWA
		DRAFT	Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, O GM	51594	10-30-75	COE
	several	FINAL	Tombigbee Units 2 and 3	51533	10-17-75	USDA
	Baldwin	FINAL	Chevron Oil Co., Dredging Permit	51560	10-24-75	COE
	Jefferson	FINAL	Birmingham Municipal Airport Improvements	51577	10-28-75	DOT
	Madison	DRAFT	Pulaski Pike Area Water System, Huntsville	51486	10-06-75	HUD
Alaska		DRAFT	Dalmon Creek Interceptor, Juneau	51465	10-01-75	EPA
		DRAFT	Energy Co. of Alaska Topping Plant, North Pole	51569	10-28-75	EPA
		FINAL	Naval Petroleum Reserve # 4, Zone A	51562	10-24-75	USN
Arizona	several	DRAFT	Apache Units #2,3,Transmission Lines	51467	10-01-75	USDA
Arkansas		FINAL	Lttle Black Watersheds	51524	10-15-75	USDA
	several	DRAFT	South Fourche Watershed	51482	10-06-75	USDA
	Logan	DRAFT	Upper Petit Jean Watershed	51543	10-20-75	USDA
	Pulaski	DRAFT	Arkansas R., North Little Rock	51514	10-14-75	COE
	Scott	DRAFT	Upper Petit Jean Watershed	51543	10-20-75	USDA
	Sebastian	DRAFT	Upper Petit Jean Watershed	51543	10-20-75	USDA
California		DRAFT	Alhambra 18-Hole Golf Facility, California	51473	10-02-75	HUD
	several	FINAL	Sacramento River, Major and Minor Tributaries	51516	10-14-75	COE
	Contra Costa	FINAL	Lower Pine Creek Watershed Project	51491	10-06-75	USDA
	Monterey	DRAFT	Social Security Administration Center	51541	10-20-75	GSA
	Orange	FINAL	Removal of Hill 733, Marine Corps Air StationEl Toro	51529	10-16-75	GSA

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
California	San Diego	FINAL	Route 15, San Diego	51548	10-21-75	DOT
	San Mateo	FINAL	Mariner's Island Development	51598	10-31-75	COE
	Santa Barbara	DRAFT	Carpinteria Valley Watershed	51576	10-28-75	USDA
Connecticut	Fairfield	DRAFT	Norwalk River Watershed	51579	10-28-75	USDA
Delaware		FINAL	Delaware River, Adjacent Waterways, Maintenance	51589	10-30-75	COE
	New Castle	FINAL	Wilton Development New Castle County	51519	10-14-75	HUD
District of Co		DRAFT	Bicentennial Transportation Program	51477	10-03-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Government Printing Office Relocation	51538	10-17-75	GSA
		FINAL	Emergency Water Pumping Station, Potomac Estuary	51551	10-22-75	COE
Florida		DRAFT	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Pearl to Apalachee Bay	51494	10-06-75	COE
		DRAFT	Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, O &M	51594	10-30-75	COE
	Duval	FINAL	Floating Nuclear Power Plants, Offshore PowerSystem	51498	10-07-75	NRC
Georgia		DRAFT	Columbus-Phenix City North Bypass	51499	10-07-75	FHWA
		DRAFT	MARTA (Supplement)	51547	10-21-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, O &M	51594	10-30-75	COE
	several	DRAFT	Appalachian Highway in North Georgia	51523	10-14-75	DOT
	Cherokee	DRAFT	South Canton Bypass	51506	10-09-75	DOT
	DeKalb	DRAFT	Brookwood Sta. Area Improvements, I-85, I-75	51570	10-28-75	DOT
		FINAL	Stoneleigh Subdivision	51471	10-02-75	HUD
	Fulton	DRAFT	Brookwood Sta. Area Improvements, I-85, I-75	51570	10-28-75	DOT
Hawaii	Honolulu	DRAFT	Interchange at Castle Junction	51469	10-01-75	DOT
		FINAL	Hawaiian Islands Wilderness Area	51468	10-01-75	DOI
Idaho	Adams	DRAFT	Council Unit, Payette N.F.	51518	10-14-75	USDA
	Boise	FINAL	Garden Valley Planning Unit, Boise N.F.	51470	10-01-75	USDA
	Lemhi	FINAL	Moose Creek Basin, Salmon N.F.	51487	10-06-75	USDA
	Valley	FINAL	Garden Valley Planning Unit, Boise N.F.	51470	10-01-75	USDA
		FINAL	Cascade Planning Unit, Boise N.F.	51537	10-17-75	USDA

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Idaho	Washington	DRAFT	Council Unit, Payette N.F.	51518	10-14-75	USDA
Illinois		FINAL	Calumet-Sag Channel, Maintenance Dredging	51550	10-22-75	COE
	Lake	FINAL	Waukegan Harbor, Maintenance Dredging	51483	10-06-75	COE
Indiana	Clark	DRAFT	Clark Co. Airport, Jeffersonville, Indiana	51530	10-16-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Clark Maritime Centre	51568	10-28-75	COE
Iowa		FINAL	Added Parking Facilities, VA. Hosp.,Iowa City	51554	10-23-75	VA
	Johnson	FINAL	S.R. 1	51544	10-21-75	DOT
	Lee	FINAL	Iowa 2, Donnelson to U.S. 61	51485	10-06-75	DOT
	Scott	FINAL	US 67, Scott County, Iowa	51574	10-28-75	DOT
Kansas		DRAFT	Kansas Hwy 177 and Kansas Hwy 18, Manhattan	51507	10-09-75	DOT
	Johnson	DRAFT	I-435 Extension (2nd Supplement)	51561	10-24-75	DOT
	Marion	FINAL	U.S. 56, Marion County	51571	10-28-75	DOT
	Wyandotte	DRAFT	I-435 Extension (2nd Supplement)	51561	10-24-75	DOT
Kentucky		DRAFT	Big South Fork National River	51522	10-14-75	COE
	Russell	FINAL	Wolf Creek National Fish Hatchery	51536	10-17-75	DOI
Maine		FINAL	Central Maine Power, Wyman Unit #4	51559	10-24-75	COE
Maryland		FINAL	Upton Urban Renewal, Baltimore	51474	10-02-75	HUD
	Baltimore	DRAFT	Relocated US 140 and Phase I RapidTransit(Supplement)	51511	10-10-75	DOT
Massachusetts		DRAFT	Murray Industrial Park, Chelsea, Mass.	41595	10-21-75	HUD
		DRAFT	Boston Demolition Plan	51525	10-15-75	HUD
		DRAFT	South Quincy Area Transit Station	51599	10-31-75	DOT

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

11-06-75

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Michigan		DRAFT	Rouge River, Maintenance Dredging	51488	10-06-75	COE
		DRAFT	Detroit River, Maintenance Dredging	51492	10-06-75	COE
	several	FINAL	Maintenance Dredging, Michigan	51528	10-16-75	COE
	Several	FINAL	Roque River Watershed	51565	10-28-75	USDA
Minnesota	Faribault	FINAL	Interstate Highway 90, Minnesota	51553	10-22-75	DOT
Mississippi		DRAFT	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Pearl to Apalachee Bay	51494	10-06-75	COE
	Itawamba	FINAL	Mantachie, Boque Fala, and Boque Ecuba Watershed	51588	10-30-75	USDA
	Lee	FINAL	Mantachie, Boque Fala, and Boque Ecuba Watershed	51588	10-30-75	USDA
	Monroe	FINAL	Mantachie, Boque Fala, and Boque Ecuba Watershed	51588	10-30-75	USDA
Missouri		FINAL	Ltte Black Watersheds	51524	10-15-75	USDA
	Cole	FINAL	Route 50, Cole County	51542	10-20-75	DOT
	Laclede	DRAFT	Gasconade Wild and Scenic River	51480	10-03-75	DOI
	Pulaski	DRAFT	Gasconade Wild and Scenic River	51480	10-03-75	DOI
	St. Charles	DRAFT	Brown Road, I-70 to I-270	51592	10-30-75	DOT
	St. Louis	DRAFT	Brown Road, I-70 to I-270	51592	10-30-75	DOT
	Texas	DRAFT	Gasconade Wild and Scenic River	51480	10-03-75	DOI
Montana	Lincoln	DRAFT	O'Brien-17 Mile-Cross Mt. Units, Kootenai N.F.	51489	10-06-75	USDA
Nebraska		DRAFT	Center St. and Mercy Rd. Intersection	51502	10-08-75	DOT
	Lincoln	DRAFT	US 83, North Platte South	51597	10-31-75	DOT
		FINAL	U.S. 30 Bypass, North Platte	51578	10-28-75	DOT
	Nemaha	DRAFT	Brownville Bridge West, US 136	51501	10-08-75	DOT
New Jersey		FINAL	Delaware River, Adjacent Waterways, Maintenance	51589	10-30-75	COE
	Bergen	DRAFT	I-287, US202 to NY State Line	51509	10-09-75	DOT
	Morris	DRAFT	I-287, US202 to NY State Line	51509	10-09-75	DOT
	Passaic	DRAFT	I-287, US202 to NY State Line	51509	10-09-75	DOT
	Union	FINAL	Lightening Brook Channel Modification	51495	10-07-75	HUD
New Mexico	McKinley	FINAL	Zuni Pueblo Watershed Project	51521	10-14-75	USDA

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
New Mexico	Otero	FINAL	White Sands National Monument	51535	10-17-75	DOI
New York	Allegany	FINAL	Wellsville Arterial, Allegany County	51585	10-29-75	DOT
	Broome	DRAFT	I-88, Hinmans Corner to Port Crane	51567	10-28-75	DOT
	Rockland	FINAL	Nautaushaun Brook Improvement Project	51582	10-29-75	HUD
	Suffolk	FINAL	Jamesport Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2	51508	10-09-75	NRC
	Ulster	FINAL	North-South Arterial	51593	10-30-75	DOT
North Carolina		DRAFT	Great Alamance Creek Project	51581	10-29-75	HUD
	Davie	FINAL	Perkins Nuclear Station, Units 1-3, Construction	51563	10-28-75	NRC
	Mecklenburg	DRAFT	William B. McGuire Sta., Units 1 and 2, Operation	51586	10-29-75	NRC
Ohio	Ottawa	FINAL	Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Sta., 2 & 3	51484	10-06-75	NRC
Oklahoma	several	FINAL	Upper Muddy Boggy Creek Watershed	51505	10-09-75	USDA
Oregon	Clatsop	FINAL	Hammond Small-Boat Basin	51587	10-30-75	COE
	Douglas	DRAFT	North Umpqua Canyon	51583	10-29-75	DOI
	Josephine	FINAL	Redwood Service District	51558	10-23-75	EPA
Pennsylvania		FINAL	Delaware River, Adjacent Waterways, Maintenance	51589	10-30-75	COE
Puerto Rico		DRAFT	Residencial Interamericana, Trujillo Alto	51580	10-29-75	HUD
Rhode Island		FINAL	New Shoreham Treatment Facilities	51476	10-03-75	EPA
South Carolina		DRAFT	Bad Creek Pumped Storage Project	51479	10-03-75	FPC
	several	DRAFT	230kV Transmission Lines, Summer Nuclear Plant	51546	10-21-75	USDA
	Cherokee	FINAL	Cherokee Nuclear Stations, Units 1, 2 and 3	51493	10-06-75	NRC

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

11-06-75

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Tennessee		DRAFT	Big South Fork National River	51522	10-14-75	COE
	Hawkins	DRAFT	Poor Valley Creek State Park	51552	10-22-75	TVA
	Marshall	DRAFT	Water Line Extension, Marshall County	51526	10-15-75	HUD
	Maury	FINAL	State Route 6, Tennessee	51549	10-21-75	DOT
	Shelby	FINAL	Northwest County Highway	51515	10-14-75	DOT
Texas		DRAFT	Mahon School Area Rehabilitation, Lubbock	51591	10-30-75	HUD
		FINAL	Williamson Creek Sewer Trunk, Temple	51472	10-02-75	HUD
	several	DRAFT	Brazos River Basin Lakes, O&M	51575	10-28-75	COE
	Brazoria	FINAL	Freeport Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51090	10-30-75	COE
	Galveston	FINAL	Galveston Harbor and Channel	51556	10-23-75	COE
	Harris	DRAFT	Loop 201, Baytown, Texas (Supplement)	51478	10-03-75	DOT
Utah	Salt Lake	DRAFT	Metallurgy Research Center, Salt Lake City	51512	10-14-75	GSA
Vermont	Carroll	DRAFT	Kancamagus Unit Plan, White Mt. N.F.	51510	10-10-75	USDA
	Grafton	DRAFT	Kancamagus Unit Plan, White Mt. N.F.	51510	10-10-75	USDA
	Rutland	FINAL	U.S. Route 4, Vermont	51490	10-06-75	DOT
Virginia		FINAL	Proposed Back Bay Wilderness Area	51466	10-01-75	DOI
		FINAL	Federal Building and Parking Facility, Norfolk	51596	10-30-75	GSA
	Brunswick	DRAFT	Great Creek Watershed Project	51520	10-14-75	USDA
	Chesterfield	FINAL	I-295, Richmond	51534	10-17-75	DOT
	Hanover	FINAL	I-295, Richmond	51534	10-17-75	DOT
	Henrico	FINAL	I-295, Richmond	51534	10-17-75	DOT
	James City	FINAL	Little Creek Water Supply Reservoir, Newport News	51557	10-23-75	COE
	Lunenburg	DRAFT	Great Creek Watershed Project	51520	10-14-75	USDA
Washington	Grant	DRAFT	LORAN-C Chain, Moses Lake, Washington (Supplement)	51600	10-31-75	DOT
	Skamania	DRAFT	Upper Lewis R. Unit, Gifford-Pinchot N.F.	51517	10-14-75	USDA
West Virginia	Mason	DRAFT	Appalachian Power Co. Power Plant, New Haven	51584	10-29-75	COE
Wisconsin	Grant	DRAFT	USH 61, 151 and STH 35, Mississippi R.to Dickeyville	51545	10-21-75	DOT

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Wisconsin	Juneau	FINAL	State Trunk Highway 21, Juneau County	51532	10-16-75	DOT
	Portage	FINAL	Wautoma-Waupaca Road (STH 22, FAP 38)	51595	10-30-75	DOT
	Sheboygan	FINAL	Sheboygan Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51527	10-16-75	COE
	Waupaca	FINAL	Wautoma-Waupaca Road (STH 22, FAP 38)	51595	10-30-75	DOT
Wyoming	Campbell	FINAL	Bell Ayr South Mine, Amax Coal Co.	51500	10-08-75	DOI
	Converse	DRAFT	Morton Ranch Uranium Mining	51481	10-03-75	TVA

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE				
Forest Service	DRAFT	O'Brien-17 Mile-Cross Mt. Units, Kootenai N.F.	51489	10-06-75
		Kancamagus Unit Plan, White Mt. N.F.	51510	10-10-75
		Upper Lewis R. Unit, Gifford-Pinchot N.F.	51517	10-14-75
		Council Unit, Payette N.F.	51518	10-14-75
	FINAL	Garden Valley Planning Unit, Boise N.F.	51470	10-01-75
		Moose Creek Basin, Salmon N.F.	51487	10-06-75
		Cascade Planning Unit, Boise N.F.	51537	10-17-75
Rural Electrification Administration	DRAFT	Apache Units #2,3,Transmission Lines	51467	10-01-75
		230kV Transmission Lines, Summer Nuclear Plant	51546	10-21-75
	FINAL	Tombigbee Units 2 and 3	51533	10-17-75
Soil Conservation Service	DRAFT	South Pourche Watershed	51482	10-06-75
		Great Creek Watershed Project	51520	10-14-75
		Upper Petit Jean Watershed	51543	10-20-75
		Carpinteria Valley Watershed	51576	10-28-75
		Norwalk River Watershed	51579	10-28-75
	FINAL	Lower Pine Creek Watershed Project	51491	10-06-75
		Upper Muddy Boggy Creek Watershed	51505	10-09-75
		Zuni Pueblo Watershed Project	51521	10-14-75
		Ltlle Black Watersheds	51524	10-15-75
		Rogue River Watershed	51565	10-28-75
		Emergency Watershed Protection Program	51572	10-28-75
		Mantachie, Bogue Pala, and Bogue Ecuba Watershed	51588	10-30-75
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps	DRAFT	Rouge River, Maintenance Dredging	51488	10-06-75
		Detroit River, Maintenance Dredging	51492	10-06-75
		Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Pearl to Apalachee Bay	51494	10-06-75
		Arkansas R., North Little Rock	51514	10-14-75
		Big South Fork National River	51522	10-14-75
		Clark Maritime Centre	51568	10-28-75
		Brazos River Basin Lakes, O&M	51575	10-28-75
		Appalachian Power Co. Power Plant, New Haven	51584	10-29-75
		Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, O &M	51594	10-30-75
	FINAL	Freeport Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51090	10-30-75
		Waukegan Harbor, Maintenance Dredging	51483	10-06-75
		Sacramento River, Major and Minor Tributaries	51516	10-14-75
		Sheboygan Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51527	10-16-75
		Maintenance Dredging, Michigan	51528	10-16-75
		Calumet-Sag Channel, Maintenance Dredging	51550	10-22-75
		Emergency Water Pumping Station, Potomac Estuary	51551	10-22-75

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps				
	FINAL	Galveston Harbor and Channel	51556	10-23-75
		Little Creek Water Supply Reservoir, Newport News	51557	10-23-75
		Central Maine Power, Wyman Unit #4	51559	10-24-75
		Chevron Oil Co., Dredging Permit	51560	10-24-75
		Hammond Small-Boat Basin	51587	10-30-75
		Delaware River, Adjacent Waterways, Maintenance	51589	10-30-75
		Mariner's Island Development	51598	10-31-75
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy				
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy				
	FINAL	Naval Petroleum Reserve # 4, Zone A	51562	10-24-75
DEPARTMENT OF HUD				
	DRAFT	Murray Industrial Park, Chelsea, Mass.	41595	10-21-75
		Residencial Interamericana, Trujillo Alto	51580	10-29-75
	FINAL	Stoneleigh Subdivision	51471	10-02-75
		Upton Urban Renewal, Baltimore	51474	10-02-75
		Wilton Development New Castle County	51519	10-14-75
Section 104(h)				
	DRAFT	Alhambra 18-Hole Golf Facility, California	51473	10-02-75
		Pulaski Pike Area Water System, Huntsville	51486	10-06-75
		Boston Demolition Plan	51525	10-15-75
		Water Line Extension, Marshall County	51526	10-15-75
		Great Alamance Creek Project	51581	10-29-75
		Mahon School Area Rehabilitation, Lubbock	51591	10-30-75
	FINAL	Williamson Creek Sewer Trunk, Temple	51472	10-02-75
		Lightening Brook Channel Modification	51495	10-07-75
		Nautaushaun Brook Improvement Project	51582	10-29-75
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR				
Bureau of Land Management				
	DRAFT	North Umpqua Canyon	51583	10-29-75
Bureau of Outdoor Recreation				
	DRAFT	Gasconade Wild and Scenic River	51480	10-03-75
	FINAL	North Country Trail	51497	10-07-75
Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife				
	FINAL	Proposed Back Bay Wilderness Area	51466	10-01-75
		Hawaiian Islands Wilderness Area	51468	10-01-75
		Wolf Creek National Fish Hatchery	51536	10-17-75

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR				
Geological Survey	FINAL	Bell Ayr South Mine, Amax Coal Co.	51500	10-08-75
National Park Service	FINAL	White Sands National Monument	51535	10-17-75
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR	DRAFT	Coke Oven Emissions	51539	10-17-75
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION				
Federal Aviation Administration	DRAFT	Clark Co. Airport, Jeffersonville, Indiana	51530	10-16-75
	FINAL	Birmingham Municipal Airport Improvements	51577	10-28-75
Federal Highway Administration	DRAFT	Interchange at Castle Junction	51469	10-01-75
		Loop 201, Baytown, Texas (Supplement)	51478	10-03-75
		Brownville Bridge West, US 136	51501	10-08-75
		Center St. and Mercy Rd. Intersection	51502	10-08-75
		South Canton Bypass	51506	10-09-75
		Kansas Hwy 177 and Kansas Hwy 18, Manhattan	51507	10-09-75
		I-287, US202 to NY State Line	51509	10-09-75
		Relocated US 140 and Phase I Rapid Transit (Supple	51511	10-10-75
		Appalachian Highway in North Georgia	51523	10-14-75
		USH 61, 151 and STH 35, Mississippi R. to Dickeyvi	51545	10-21-75
		I-435 Extension (2nd Supplement)	51561	10-24-75
		I-88, Hinmans Corner to Port Crane	51567	10-28-75
		Brookwood Sta. Area Improvements, I-85, I-75	51570	10-28-75
		Brown Road, I-70 to I-270	51592	10-30-75
		US 83, North Platte South	51597	10-31-75
	FINAL	Iowa 2, Donnelson to U.S. 61	51485	10-06-75
		U.S. Route 4, Vermont	51490	10-06-75
		Northwest County Highway	51515	10-14-75
		State Trunk Highway 21, Juneau County	51532	10-16-75
		I-295, Richmond	51534	10-17-75
		Route 50, Cole County	51542	10-20-75
		S.R. 1	51544	10-21-75
		Route 15, San Diego	51548	10-21-75
		State Route 6, Tennessee	51549	10-21-75
		Interstate Highway 90, Minnesota	51553	10-22-75
		U.S. 56, Marion County	51571	10-28-75
		US 67, Scott County, Iowa	51574	10-28-75
		U.S. 30 Bypass, North Platte	51578	10-28-75

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION				
Federal Highway Administration	DRAFT	Wautoma-Waupaca Road (STH 22, FAP 38)	51595	10-30-75
		Columbus-Phenix City North Bypass	51499	10-07-75
	FINAL	Wellsville Arterial, Allegany County	51505	10-29-75
		North-South Arterial	51593	10-30-75
U.S.Coast Guard	DRAFT	LORAN-C Chain, Moses Lake, Washington (Supplement)	51600	10-31-75
	FINAL	Deepwater Port Regulations	51475	10-03-75
		LORAN-C Chain, West Coast/Gulf of Alaska	51513	10-14-75
Urban Mass Transportation Administration	DRAFT	Bicentennial Transportation Program	51477	10-03-75
		MARTA (Supplement)	51547	10-21-75
		South Quincy Area Transit Station	51599	10-31-75
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	DRAFT	Dalmon Creek Interceptor, Juneau	51465	10-01-75
		New Motorcycle Emissions Standards	51564	10-28-75
		Energy Co. of Alaska Topping Plant, North Pole	51569	10-28-75
	FINAL	New Shoreham Treatment Facilities	51476	10-03-75
		Redwood Service District	51558	10-23-75
	DRAFT	Columbus-Phenix City North Bypass	51499	10-07-75
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION	DRAFT	Bad Creek Pumped Storage Project	51479	10-03-75
		East Tennessee Natural Gas, Curtailment	51496	10-07-75
		Algonquin Gas Transmission Co., Curtailment	51504	10-09-75
		Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corp., Curtailment	51566	10-28-75
	FINAL	Mississippi River Transmission Corporation	51540	10-20-75
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	DRAFT	Metallurgy Research Center, Salt Lake City	51512	10-14-75
		Government Printing Office Relocation	51538	10-17-75
		Social Security Administration Center	51541	10-20-75
	FINAL	Removal of Hill 733, Marine Corps Air Station, ElToro	51529	10-16-75
		Federal Building and Parking Facility, Norfolk	51596	10-30-75

FROM 10-01-75
TO 10-31-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION				
	FINAL	International Phase of Ocean Drilling	51531	10-16-75
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				
	DRAFT	Co-60 Spark-Gap Irradiators, Licensing Exemption	51573	10-28-75
		William B. McGuire Sta., Units 1 and 2, Operation	51586	10-29-75
	FINAL	Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Sta., 2 & 3	51484	10-06-75
		Cherokee Nuclear Stations, Units 1, 2 and 3	51493	10-06-75
		Floating Nuclear Power Plants, Offshore Power Syst	51498	10-07-75
		Jamesport Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2	51508	10-09-75
		Perkins Nuclear Station, Units 1-3, Construction	51563	10-28-75
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY				
	DRAFT	Morton Ranch Uranium Mining	51481	10-03-75
		Poor Valley Creek State Park	51552	10-22-75
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION				
	FINAL	Added Parking Facilities, VA. Hosp.,Iowa City	51554	10-23-75

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

The following statements were filed with the Council from October 1, 1975 through October 31, 1975. Copies of the statements are available from the issuing agency (see contact at the beginning of the agency listing) and from the Environmental Law Institute 1346 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, (202)452-9600) at cost, $ .10/page.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Dr. Powden G. Maxwell
Coordinator of Environmental
Quality Activities
Office of the Secretary
U.S.Department of Agriculture
Room 359-A,
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-3965

Forest Service

Draft — Date

Council Unit, Payette N.F. — 10/14

Idaho
County: Adams Washington
The statement concerns the land use plan for the 138,862 acre Council Planning Unit of the Payette National Forest. The plan sets forth management objectives and priorities, and allocates land to resource uses and activities. Adverse effects will be from temporary stream siltation due to resource utilization, short periods of air pollution caused by planned burning, and minor intrusions into an inventoried roadless area.
(ELR ORDER # 51518)

O'Brien-17 Mile-Cross Mt. Units, Kootenai N.F. — 10/06

Montana
County: Lincoln
The action involves the implementation of a revised multiple use management plan for the O'Brien-Seventeen-mile-Cross Mountain Planning Units, Kootenai National Forest. The proposal will cover approximately 146,500 acres of land. The plan calls for continued development activity, management of the wildlife and visual resources, and increased timber production due to more intensive management.
(ELR ORDER # 51489)

Kancamagus Unit Plan, White Mt. N.F. — 10/10

Vermont
County: Carroll Grafton
Proposed is the land use plan for the Kancamagus Unit of the White Mountain National Forest. Major points under the proposed action include: strategic water quality monitoring, major deer yard improvement, elimination and minimal expansion of camp and picnic grounds, more varied recreation facilities, use restrictions and carrying capacity standards, and timber sales producing over 4.8 million board feet per year. Adverse impacts of the proposal include sedimentation, stream channel instability,

on-site erosion, decreased local tax revenues, and increased solid waste problems due to anticipated increase in dispersed off-road recreation.
(ELR ORDER # 51510)

Upper Lewis R. Unit, Gifford-Pinchot N.F. 10/14

Washington
County: Skamania
The statement concerns the land use plan for the Upper Lewis River Planning Unit of the Gifford-Pinchot National Forest. The plan allots 2,840 acres within the unit for classification as a Scenic Area, 2,460 acres to wilderness study, 1,150 acres near the north boundary as unroaded area, 4,500 acres for wildlife habitat, 190 acres for recreation developments, and 72,676 acres on which the main activity will be timber harvests. Physical and biological impacts will be caused by additional people on the area, and logging will disturb the biological systems.
(ELR ORDER # 51517)

Final Date

Garden Valley Planning Unit, Boise N.F. 10/01

Idaho
County: Valley Boise
The statement refers to a land use plan for the 241,600 acre Garden Valley Planning Unit of Boise National Forest. The plan attempts to determine the desired balance of development and protection for each management unit. The most significant adverse impact is on fish and wildlife. Livestock use in and around stream channels will remove needed streambank cover, cause streambanks to cave in, and increase sedimentation. Road construction, timber harvest, grazing, and campground development will have an adverse effect on aesthetics.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA USDA AHP
state and local agencies
organizations and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51470)

Moose Creek Basin, Salmon N.F. 10/06

Idaho
County: Lemhi
The statement concerns the land use plan for the Moose Creek Basin Planning Unit of Salmon National Forest. The Unit contains 74,100 acres, of which 681 acres are privately owned and, in addition, there are 123 acres of unpatented mining claims where surface rights are not held by the United States. The major environmental effects will be due to timber harvests, access road construction, and possible mining activities.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA AHP DOC DOI
state agencies and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51487)

Cascade Planning Unit, Boise N.F. 10/17

Idaho
County: Valley
The statement concerns the land use plan for the 136,466-acre Cascade Planning Unit of the Boise National Forest. The plan sets forth the allocation of land to various resource uses and activities, establishes objectives, documents management direction, decisions, and necessary coordination between resource uses. Impacts relative to all possible uses or non-use within the unit include effects on vegetation soils, esthetic values, wildlife, water, and recreational values.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA DOT USDA AHP
state and local organizations and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51537)

Rural Electrification Administration

Draft Date

Apache Units #2,3,Transmission Lines 10/01

Arizona
County: several
The project involves the request for a loan guarantee from Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., to construct two new generating units (No. 2 and No. 3) at the existing Apache plant site. Each unit will have a net capacity of 175 MW. The project will also consist of 58 miles of 230kV transmission line and 23 miles of 345 kV transmission line. The project will result in the consumption of 1.3 million tons of coal annually and 5,400 gpm of water from deep-wells located on the site. The water consumption will adversely affect nearby wells used for agricultural irrigation.
(ELR ORDER # 51467)

230kV Transmission Lines, Summer Nuclear Plant 10/21

South Carolina
County: several
The statement concerns a loan application by the Central Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., to finance approximately 95 miles of 230kV line from the Summer Nuclear Plant to Newberry and from Varnville to Hilton Head. The project also includes construction of five new substations at Newberry, Varnville, Hilton Head, Batesburg, and Camden plus a 230kV terminal at the existing Blythewood substation. Environmental effects associated with the project

involve introducing negative visual impacts into scenic areas, the removal of tall trees, some soil erosion which may affect nearby waterways, minor limitations on land use, and temporary construction effects.
(ELR ORDER # 51546)

Final — Date

Tombigbee Units 2 and 3 — 10/17

Alabama
County: several
The statement refers to the request for insured and guaranteed loan funds from REA for $265,553,000 to construct Alabama Electric Cooperative's addition of two 233MW (gross output) coalfired steam generator units and approximately 157 miles of 230 kV transmission lines and acquire related coal leases. Adverse impacts include soil erosion and visual intrusion from the transmission facilities and effects associated with burning coal.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA COE DOT FEA DOI
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51533)

Soil Conservation Service

Draft — Date

South Fourche Watershed — 10/06

Arkansas
County: several
Proposed is a project for watershed protection and flood prevention for the City of Perryville and surrounding areas. Six floodwater retarding structures will be constructed and will supply for the area. About 10 miles of upland intermittent streams and 8 miles of upland ephemeral streams will be permanently inundated by the 7 reservoirs.
(ELR ORDER # 51482)

Upper Petit Jean Watershed — 10/20

Arkansas
County: Logan Scott Sebastian
Proposed is a project for the purposes of watershed protection, flood prevention, and a municipal and industrial water supply. The project will commit 507 acres of grassland and 535 acres of forest land to 8 floodwater retarding structures and 1 multiple purpose structure. About 13 miles of upland intermittent streams will be permanently inundated by the 9 reservoirs. The project will also require the relocation of 17 families.
(ELR ORDER # 51543)

Carpinteria Valley Watershed 10/28

California
County: Santa Barbara
The statement concerns a project for watershed protection and flood prevention in the Carpinteria Valley. Construction is already partially completed. The project includes a debris dam and about 10.3 miles of concrete lined channel to connect with existing Santa Monica Creek channel, and concrete channels on Franklin Creek. The construction will require the displacement of 9 families.
(ELR ORDER # 51576)

Norwalk River Watershed 10/28

Connecticut
County: Fairfield
The Norwalk River Watershed Project was designed for watershed protection, flood prevention and wetland wildlife habitat improvement. Four impoundment structures and a total of 2.1 miles of flood prevention channel work remained to be constructed. The structural measures will disturb or alter wildlife habitat in the area. The project will also require the displacement of 28 persons.
(ELR ORDER # 51579)

Great Creek Watershed Project 10/14

Virginia
County: Brunswick Lunenburg
Proposed is a project for watershed protection, flood prevention, and municipal and industrial water storage in Brunswick and Lunenburg Counties, Virginia. A 57-foot high earth fill dam will be built to store water, trap sediment, and reduce flooding downstream. The project will inundate 212 acres and restrict land use on 430 acres of forest land, cropland, and pastureland. Approximately 4.8 miles of perennial stream and 2.1 miles of intermittent stream will be inundated.
(ELR ORDER # 51520)

Final Date

Emergency Watershed Protection Program 10/28

The statement refers to the Emergency Watershed Protection Program, authorized by Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950. The program authorizes measures to be installed to safeguard lives and property from floods and the products of erosion whenever fire or other natural element or force causes a sudden impairment of a watershed. Frequently used emergency measure include: establishment of vegetative cover, gully control structures, streambank protection, debris and sediment removal, and emergency repair of existing

dams, dikes, and other water control structures. (47 pages)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD FPC DOC EPA HEW DOI USDA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51572)

Lower Pine Creek Watershed Project 10/06

California
County: Contra Costa
The statement refers to the Lower Pine Creek watershed protection, flood prevention and recreation project in Contra Costa County, California. The proposed project consists of conservation land treatment measures along with two earthfill multiple purpose dams with a common reservoir and basic recreational facilities, and forming a park area. Construction of the project will eliminate livestock grazing area and change the wildlife habitat at the site. There will also be temporary disturbances during construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE HEW DOI DOT EPA 2AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51491)

Rogue River Watershed 10/28

Michigan
County: Several
This project consists of a combination of land treatment, channel work and a pumping station to reduce the problems of flooding and poor drainage in the Rice Lake problem area and land treatment to improve management and erosion problems throughout Rogue River Watershed in west-central Michigan. The following adverse impacts will result: shift of 94 acres of cropland to grassland; sediment and erosion along 11.6 miles of channel work during construction and until stabilize ; and temporary construction noise and disruption of wildlife habitat, and benthos community.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOT EPA AHP
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51565)

Mantachie, Bogue Fala, and Bogue Ecuba Watershed 10/30

Mississippi
County: Itawamba Lee Monroe
The statement concerns a project for watershed protection, flood prevention, and recreation for Mantachie, Bogue Fala, and Bogue Ecuba Creeks Watershed. The project will utilize conservation land treatment, 12 floodwater retarding structures, and two multiple purpose structures. Nine hundred eighty three acres will be inundated and another 225 acres of wildlife habitat subject to seasonal flooding. Temporary construction disruption and lowered water quality will result.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USA HEW DOI DOT EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51588)

Lttle Black Watersheds 10/15

Missouri Arkansas
County: several
The statement concerns two interrelated projects for watershed protection, flood prevention, drainage on agricultural land, and recreation for 40,254 acres in Butler, Carter, and Ripley Counties, Missouri, and Clay County, Arkansas. Construction of the 24 floodwater retarding structures and the multiple-purpose reservoir will remove 1,192 acres of forest land, 334 acres of cropland, and 52 acres of pastureland. Proposed channel modification will result in the loss of 205 acres of cropland and 570 acres of forest land and the gain of 718 acres acres of pastureland. (125 pages)
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE HEW DOI DOT EPA AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51524)

Zuni Pueblo Watershed Project 10/14

New Mexico
County: McKinley
The statement concerns a project for watershed protection and flood prevention for 11,700 acres of the Zuni Pueblo Watershed. About 652 acres of land would be needed for construction of 60' X 7,000' earth dam, spillway, an emergency spillway, and operation of the system. Eleven archeological sites would be committed to mitigation salvage and excavation.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOI DOT EPA AHP
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51521)

Upper Muddy Boggy Creek Watershed 10/09

Oklahoma
County: several
The Upper Muddy Boggy Creek Watershed work plan proposes a project for watershed protection and flood prevention. The project measures include accelerated application of land treatment measures for the protection of 94,809 acres of agricultural upland and the installation of 36 floodwater structures for the reduction of floodwater, sediment, and erosion damages on 8,876 acres of floodplain land in Pontotoc, Hughes, Coal, and Pittsburgh Counties. Adverse impacts are the inundation of land, decreased woody habitat, disruption of fish and wildlife, and short term increase in erosion and turbidity. (40 pages)
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOI DOT EPA
conservation interests
(ELR ORDER # 51505)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps

Contact: Dr. C. Grant Ash
Office of Environmental Policy Development
Attn: DAEN-CWR-P
Office of the Chief of Engineers
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
1000 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20314
(202) 693-6795

Draft — Date

Apalachicola, Chattahoochee and Flint Rivers, O &M — 10/30

Alabama Florida Georgia
Proposed is the continued operation and maintenance of the Apalachicola, Chattahochee and Flint Rivers for navigation, flood control, hydropower and recreation. Navigation features consist of channel construction the length of the authorized project. Dredging activities for commercial sand and gravel production are discussed as they relate to the purpose of maintaining the Federal project. Adverse effects include temporary increase in turbidity and suspended solids concentrations near the dredge and disposal areas, loss of wildlife habitation and blocking of upstream migration of fish.
(ELR ORDER # 51594)

Arkansas R., North Little Rock — 10/14

Arkansas
County: Pulaski
The statement concerns a project for flood protection for the downtown area of North Little Rock, Arkansas. The selected plan consists of approximately 3.5 miles of excavated channel, 9,000 feet of renovated existing channels, and 9,800 feet of new channel. The project, with a fence erected on both sides of the channel, will require about 70 acres of right-of-way. Adverse impacts of the project include the loss of 1,315 acres of poor quality wildlife habitat, a decline in aesthetics, relocation of businesses and the possible spread of Histoplasma spores as secondary development occurs. (Little Rock District)
(ELR ORDER # 51514)

Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Pearl to Apalachee Bay — 10/06

Florida Mississippi
The statement concerns the continued operation and maintenance to authorized dimensions of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway from Pearl River, Louisiana-Mississippi to Apalachee Bay, Florida. Primary adverse effects include loss of benthic communitity in the dredged material; turbidity, mudflows, and

lower water quality in the area of the cutterhead and open water disposal sites; and displeasing appearance of the fast land disposal sites. (Mobile District)
(ELR ORDER # 51494)

Clark Maritime Centre 10/28

Indiana
County: Clark
Proposed is the construction and operation of a public port on the Ohio River near Jeffersonville, Indiana, and an associated Industrial Park by the Indiana Port Commission. The port facilities will require about 200 acres of land and will be built on the flood plain of the Ohio River behind Sixmile Island along 3500 feet of riverbank between Ohio R. miles 597 and 598. The Industrial Park will extend approximately 3 miles NW from the port to SR 62. Adverse effects include the alteration of 1020 acres of primarily agricultural and wooded land, displacement of 16 families, and loss of wildlife habitat.
(ELR ORDER # 51568)

Big South Fork National River 10/14

Kentucky Tennessee
Proposed is the establishment, administration, and maintenance of the Big South Fork National River and Recreation Area, Tennessee and Kentucky, as authorized by P.L. 93-251. The project will displace 295 persons and families from 13 active cemetaries, and will require the acquisition of 61 farms, loss of significant timber harvest, loss of coal, gas, and oil production, revenue losses, and disturbance of the area due to increased tourist use. (Nashville District)
(ELR ORDER # 51522)

Rouge River, Maintenance Dredging 10/06

Michigan
The statement concerns the maintenance dredging of 4.5 miles of the Rouge River navigation channel. An estimated 230,500 cubic yards of sediments must be removed annually to maintain the channels. Adverse impacts of the project include increased turbidity, destruction of benthic habitat, water quality degradation in the dredging area, and the disturbance of the disposal site. (Detroit District)
(ELR ORDER # 51488)

Detroit River, Maintenance Dredging 10/06

Michigan
Proposed is the maintenance dredging in the Detroit River connecting channels. Upon completion of the

Pointe Mouilee confined disposal facility, an estimated 672,000 cubic yards must be removed annually to maintain the connecting channels. Adverse impacts of the proposal include alteration of existing habitats due to dredge disposal and destruction of aquatic life in the dredged area. (Detroit District)
(ELR ORDER # 51492)

Brazos River Basin Lakes, O&M 10/28

Texas
County: several
This statement reviews the environmental impacts of the operations, maintenance and management programs at 5 completed and operating reservoir projects: Whitney Lake, Waco Lake, Proctor Lake, Stillhouse Hollow Dam and Lake, and Somerville Lake, all in the Brazos River Basin, Texas. These programs include flood control, water conservation, power generation, and management of lands and waters for various forms of recreation. Adverse effects of the programs include the killing of terrestrial wildlife by the impoundment of floodwater, the damaging of grasses and trees by prolonged storage of floodwater, and the placement of pressure on project lands by heavy recreational use.
(ELR ORDER # 51575)

Appalachian Power Co. Power Plant, New Haven 10/29

West Virginia
County: Mason
Proposed is the construction and operation of a 1,300,000 kV coal-fired power plant in New Haven, West Virginia. The plant will use cooling water from the Ohio River and will discharge station waste to the river. Adverse impacts of the project include the discharge of waste from ash disposal and station drains, and passage of water through the station system, the use of chemical additives to water used in the station system, and the breakdown of the pollution control system. The project will also require the clearing of forest land and the relocation of Little Broad Run. (Huntington District)
(ELR ORDER # 51584)

Final Date

Chevron Oil Co., Dredging Permit 10/24

Alabama
County: Baldwin
Proposed is the issuance of a permit to Chevron Oil Company for the dredging of a slip in the Mobile River Delta to accomodate an inland drilling operations. Adverse impacts include the destruction of about 5 acres of swamp, increased noise levels in the vicinity, degradation of the aesthetic quality of the area, and the potential effects

of accidental oil spills and blowouts on Mobile Delta and surrounding areas. (Mobile District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HUD DOT USDA EPA DOC
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51560)

Sacramento River, Major and Minor Tributaries 10/14

California
County: several
The project involves the protection of extensive areas in the Sacramento River Basin flood plains from widespread damages and loss of life due to flooding. Loss of wildlife and associated natural riparian values along the streams cannot be avoided at some sites. (Sacramento River)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI HEW USDA DOT
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51516)

Mariner's Island Development 10/31

California
County: San Mateo
The statement refers to the regulatory permit application of the Security Savings and Loan Association for the Mariner's Island Development Project. The plan includes the placing of approximately 1,380,000 cubic yards of imported fill on a 190 acre portion of a 207 acre site at Mariner's Island and construciton of a 900,000 sq.ft. shopping center, office buildings, multi-family dwellings, automotive sales center research and development center, and satellite commercial development. Adverse impacts include increase in localized noise and traffic and loss of 190 acres of wildlife habitat. (San Francisco District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HEW DOI DOT USDA
state and local agencies
and one individual
(ELR ORDER # 51598)

Emergency Water Pumping Station, Potomac Estuary 10/22

District of Co
Proposed is the construction of a 100MGD emergency raw water intake, an access road, and a temporary earthen-fill bridge 1,100 feet upstream from Chain Bridge. The project is intended to assure an adequate supply of raw water during low flows of the Potomac. Adverse impact will include: the commitment of land; encroachment upon the C and O Canal National Historical Park, with subsequent aesthetic and recreational losses; and potential damage

to water quality and life within the Estuary if the intake is used for prolonged periods. (Baltimore District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI USDA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51551)

Waukegan Harbor, Maintenance Dredging 10/06

Illinois
County: Lake
The project proposes to resume maintenance dredging and to dispose the unpolluted sediments from the entrance channel at Waukegan Harbor into a deep open water disposal site in Lake Michigan and to dispose the polluted sediments into a confined disposal site located at Kenosha Harbor, Wisconsin. The proposed project would dredge over a 10 year period, 350,000 cu. yds. of sediment. Adverse impacts are disruptions of benthic community, increased turbidity within the harbor and open lake disposal site, and navigation hazards. (Chicago District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC HEW HUD DOI DOT EPA USCG
state and regional agencies
(ELP ORDER # 51483)

Calumet-Sag Channel, Maintenance Dredging 10/22

Illinois
The project involves the maintenance dredging of Calumet-Sag Channel and the Little Calumet River, and the disposal of polluted sediments in land disposal areas. The dredging program is intended to maintain the waterway for commercial traffic. Adverse impact includes temporary increases in water turbidity, and the potential pollution of ground water. (Disposal sites may be lined with clay in order to mitigate the latter effects.)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC EPA HEW HUD DOI DOT
state and local agencies,
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51550)

Central Maine Power, Wyman Unit #4 10/24

Maine
Proposed is the addition of a 600 megawatt electric generating unit to the present plant capacity of 224 MW. The plant would consume approximately 200 million gallons of fuel oil per year, increasing emissions to the earth's atmosphere. The project also entails dredging from Casco Bay and raising of Casco Bay seawater used for cooling 25 degrees F. Marine life will also entrained in the cooling system. (Waltham District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOC DOI EPA HEW
local agency
(ELR ORDER # 51559)

Maintenance Dredging, Michigan 10/16

Michigan
County: several
Proposed is the maintenance dredging of 14 unpolluted harbors: Charlevoix, Frankfort, Grand Haven, Holland, Leland, Little Lake, Ludington, Manistee, Muskegon, Pentwater, St. Joseph, Saugatuck, South Haven, and White Lake. The impact will be limited to temporary construction disruption.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW DOT FPC USDA HUD DOI DOC EPA AHP state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51528)

Delaware River, Adjacent Waterways, Maintenance 10/30

New Jersey Pennsylvania Delaware
Proposed is the continued operation and maintenance in the navigable portions of the Delaware River and adjacent waterways including repair of training structures and dredged material disposal on existing and proposed upland and riparian sites. Dredging will reduce water quality and cause temporary turbidity and disposal of dredged materials will destroy vegetation on the disposal site. (Philadelphia District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOC DOI HUD DOT AHP state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51589)

Hammond Small-Boat Basin 10/30

Oregon
County: Clatsop
Proposed is the repair of the existing breakwater and construction of a rubblemound east breakwater and groin, and dredging and maintenance of an entrance access channel of Hammond Small-Boat Basin. The project will result in disturbance of the aquatic community, turbidity, and elimination of some habitat for small animals at the site of dredge disposal. (Portland District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOT AHP DOI USDA state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51587)

Freeport Harbor Maintenance Dredging 10/30

Texas
County: Brazoria
Proposed is the widening and deepening of Freeport Harbor, Texas, by periodic removal of shoal deposits from the harbor. Dredge material will be deposited in leveed land areas and in the Gulf of Mexico. Adverse impacts include removal of bottom dwelling organisms, destruction of vegetation used for fishery resource habitat, high localized turbidity, and objectionable odors from land disposal operations. (Galveston District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW EPA HUD DOC DOI DOT AHP
state and local agencies
and one individual
(ELR ORDER # 51090)

Galveston Harbor and Channel 10/23

Texas
County: Galveston
The statement refers to the proposed continued maintenance of Galveston Harbor and Channel by periodic removal of shoaled materials. Maintenance will be performed with a hopper dredge or contract pipeline dredge with materials disposed of in a designated area in the Gulf of Mexico. Adverse impacts are loss of benthic community in dredge area, and temporary turbidity.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOI AHP DOT HUD HEW
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51556)

Little Creek Water Supply Reservoir, Newport News 10/23

Virginia
County: James City
The statement discusses the city of Newport News proposal to increase the safe yield of their water supply system by damming Little Creek and creating an impoundment which will store water to be pumped from the Chickahomy River at Walker Dam. The Army Corps portion of the project includes: construction of an earthfill dam; construction of a pumping station; dredging from the stream bed at the dam site; and expansion of the pumping station at Walker Dam. Adverse impacts are the alteration of 916 acres to an aquatic habitat, displacement of wildlife habitats, and the conversion of 2.5 miles of stream to a lake. (Norfolk District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC DOI EPA DOT AHP USDA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51557)

Sheboygan Harbor Maintenance Dredging 10/16

Wisconsin
County: Sheboygan
Proposed is the maintenance dredging of the Sheboygan Harbor to maintain project depths. Also included in the project is the construction of a diked disposal confinement area in Lake Michigan for disposal of the dredged materials over the next ten year period. Adverse impacts include the disturbance of bottom dwelling organisms , the resuspension of toxic materials, the conversion of 14.8 acres of aquatic environment to a terrestrial environment, and creation of potential health and safety hazards. (Chicago District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC DOI USDA, State and Local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51527)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy

Contact: Mr. Peter M. McDavitt
Special Assistant to the Assistant
Secretary of the Navy (Installations
and Logistics)
Washington D.C. 20350
(202) 692-3227

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy

Final — Date

Naval Petroleum Reserve # 4, Zone A — 10/24

Alaska
The statement concerns a 3-year project to locate productible oil and natural gas on the 37,000 square mile Naval Petroleum Reserve No. 4 on the North Slope of Alaska. Adverse impacts include: increases in animal mortality rate, irreparable vegetation damage, extensive permafrost damage, serious alterations in drainage patterns, ecological repurcussions to endangered species and ecosystems, and reduction in the wilderness qualities of the area.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOC HUD USCG EPA
state agencies and conservation groups
(ELR ORDER # 51562)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact: Mr. Sheldon Meyers
Director, Office of Federal Activities
Room 3630 Waterside Mall
Washington D.C. 20460
(202) 755-0940

Draft — Date

New Motorcycle Emissions Standards — 10/28

Proposed are emissions standards for new motorcycles to reduce the levels of CO and HC in the air, 5 percent of which is caused by motorcycle exhaust in some areas. If left uncontrolled, the emissions could climb to over 10% of total emissions of HC and CO in such areas by 1990. Potential disadvantages will be slight increases in nitrogen oxides, possible sulfate emissions from post-1979 motorcycles, and the unknown compatability of emission controls with future regulations of motorcycle noise.
(ELR ORDER # 51564)

Dalmon Creek Interceptor, Juneau — 10/21

Alaska
The statement concerns the awarding of grant funds to the City and Borough of Juneau, Alaska for the construction of an interceptor sewer line to service the area from Vanderbilt Hill to Norway Point. The estimated cost for the 4.5 miles of pipeline is $2,173,000. Completion of the project would remove present constraints on growth and development in the project area. The project will result in cost to property owners and secondary impacts of erosion of soils and increased sedimentation in the Twin Lake area due to development.
(ELR ORDER # 51465)

Energy Co. of Alaska Topping Plant, North Pole — 10/28

Alaska
The statement concerns the issuance of a new source NPDES permit for discharge of process waters from ECA's proposed topping plant into the Tanana River. The proposed plant will be capable of processing up to 25,000 barrels per day of crude oil from the Trans-Alaska Pipeline. The plant will employ 100 persons during construction and 60 persons during operation. Addition of the refinery employees will put a strain upon the already strained housing market of the Fairbanks-North Pole area.
(ELR ORDER # 51569)

Final | Date

Redwood Service District | 10/23

Oregon
County: Josephine
The project as originally proposed by the Redwood Sanitary Sewer Service District would involve construction of a sewerage system to initially serve the eastern half of the service district which has been declared an emergency health hazard area by the Josephine County Health Department. An interceptor would be constructed westerly along the Rogue River to a secondary treatment plant at the west end of the Service District. Effluent would be discharged to the Rogue River. A "no action" alternative and an alternative with a different plant location are included.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA USA DOT AHP HUD
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51558)

New Shoreham Treatment Facilities | 10/03

Rhode Island
Proposed is a municipal collection system and an extended aeration system as the method of wastewater treatment for Block Island. Since the New Harbor Area is not suitable for subsurface sewerage systems, Alternative B, which includes addition of a filtration unit for the final effluent to insure a consistantly high quality effluent at the outfall, odor control and noise attenuation, aesthetic screening, and fencing of the outfall, was chosen. Adverse impacts include the limited growth potential due to the implementation of the project, and construction disruption.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA COE DOT USCG HUD HEW
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51476)

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Contact: Dr. Richard F. Hill
Acting Advisor on Environmental Quality
441 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20426
(202) 386-6084

Draft — Date

East Tennessee Natural Gas, Curtailment — 10/07

The action consists of FPC's analysis of two permanent curtailment plans for the East Tennessee Natural Gas Company System. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases, and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Rate structures and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
(ELR ORDER # 51496)

Algonquin Gas Transmission Co., Curtailment — 10/09

The action consists of FPC's analysis of two permanent curtailment plans for the Algonquin Gas Transmission Company. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases, and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
(ELR ORDER # 51504)

Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corp., Curtailment — 10/28

The action consists of FPC's analysis of two permanent curtailment plans for the Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Reference is made to the fact that rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
(ELR ORDER # 51566)

Bad Creek Pumped Storage Project — 10/03

South Carolina
Proposed is the licensing of Duke Power Co. for the construction, operation, and maintenance of a pumped

storage project that would include a 318-acre upper reservoir, a 1.25 mile underground power tunnel, a 1,000 MW underground powerhouse complex and a 525kV transmission line. Construction of the project would require the elimination of 2.3 acres of trout stream and 508 acres of land vegetation. No recreational facilities are proposed within the project area. (ELR ORDER # 51479)

Final — Date

Mississippi River Transmission Corporation — 10/20

The statement describes the projected curtailment of natural gas supply for the Mississippi River Transmission Corporation. Adverse effects include decreased air quality due to increased use of other fossil fuels and economic consequences of the deficiency of natural gas.
COMMENTS MADE BY: NRC HEW
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51540)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Andrew E. Kauders
Executive Director of Environmental Affairs
General Services Administration
18th and F Streets, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20405
(202) 343-4161

Draft — Date

Social Security Administration Center — 10/20

California
County: Monterey
The proposed action consists of construction and operation of a Data Operations Center for the Social Security Administration on an 11.71 acre site in Salinas, California. The project will have a negative effect upon air quality due to automobile traffic to and from the facility. Development of the area will also occur unless the general plan for the city is enforced.
(ELR ORDER # 51541)

Government Printing Office Relocation — 10/17

District of Co
The action consists of the construction of a new printing plant, documents warehouse and distribution facility, and administrative center for the Government Printing Office (GPO). The new 33 acre site is located at Brentwood Road and T Street in Northeast Washington, D.C. The new plant would provide for the consolidation of GPO functions now operating separately around the Metropolitan area. Impacts of the project include the increase in traffic in the area which is already operating at over peak volume capacity, and the vacating of the existing GPO office buildings.
(ELR ORDER # 51538)

Metallurgy Research Center, Salt Lake City — 10/14

Utah
County: Salt Lake
The proposed action is the construction of a new Metallurgy Research Center for the Bureau of Mines, Department of Interior. The Center will replace the current building in Salt Lake City and will provide for over 125 employees. The project will require the committment of 34 acres of land and will have an impact upon the cultural and historic resources of the area.
(ELR ORDER # 51512)

Final | Date

Removal of Hill 733, Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro | 10/16

California
County: Orange
Proposed is the disposal of 2.5 million cu. yds. of fill earth from Hill 733 of U.S. Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro (Santa Ana), California. At present the upper portion of Hill 733 penetrates the approach and assent flight patterns from the east-west runways at the Air Station. The operation may take from 8.5 to 17 years depending upon the rate of removal. Slight temporary increases of air and noise pollution near the site will result.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HEW EPA AHP DOT USDA COE
(ELR ORDER # 51529)

Federal Building and Parking Facility, Norfolk | 10/30

Virginia
The proposed action consists of the construction of a Federal Building and parking facility for the consolidation of Federal agencies in Norfolk, Virginia. The cost of the project, including site acquisition, relocation, design, construction and inspection will be about 14.5 million. Long-term environmental impacts are primarily those related to the use of limited resources and City services necessary for the operation of the facility.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOC EPA DOI DLAB
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51596)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Broun
Director, Office of Environmental Quality
Room 7258
451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6308

Draft — Date

Murray Industrial Park, Chelsea, Mass. — 10/21

Massachusetts
The statement refers to the proposed 103.4 acre Murray Industrial Park Urban Renewal Project in Chelsea. The project would provide for commercial, industrial, and residential uses of the area, part of which was destroyed in a fire in October, 1973. Adverse impact will result from construction disruption, and from the close proximity of Logan Airport.
(ELR ORDER # 41595)

Residencial Interamericana, Trujillo Alto — 10/29

Puerto Rico
The project consists of the development of 1,286 dwelling units on a 128 acre site in Las Cuevas Ward, Trujillo Alto, Puerto Rico. Construction of the units will augment the supply of moderate-income housing in the San Juan area. Temporary construction disruption will result.
(ELR ORDER # 51580)

Final — Date

Wilton Development New Castle County — 10/14

Delaware
County: New Castle
Wilton involves the residential development of a 370 acre tract of land over an approximately ten year period. Development will include construction of 3,000 dwelling units and community centers. Land is being reserved for development of public open space and school areas. Plans also call for development of a commercial area fronting on Route 40. Adverse impacts of the plan include: conversion of agricultural land to urban use, some increase in air pollution and community noise levels, and some traffic congestion.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOT HEW
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51519)

Stoneleigh Subdivision — 10/02

Georgia
County: DeKalb
The statement refers to the proposed development of Stoneleigh Subdivision in DeKalb County, Georgia. The project is a planned unit development encompassing 87.5 acres, with a proposed land use of 612 single-family townhouse units, common areas, green belts, a clubhouse with swimming and wading pools and 4 tennis courts. Adverse impacts are increased demand created on utilities, municipal and community services and facilities, increased surface water run-off, traffic congestion, and increased noise and air pollution.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA EPA HEW DOI DOT state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51471)

Upton Urban Renewal, Baltimore 10/02

Maryland
The statement concerns an urban renewal project for Upton, a 183 acre area of Baltimore's black community. The project's goal to improve housing will be realized primarily through rehabilitation of existing buildings, although 1,000 new housing units will be constructed. The project will displace families and businesses.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC AHP DOI DOT COE, State and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51474)

Section 104(h)

Draft Date

Pulaski Pike Area Water System, Huntsville 10/06

Alabama
County: Madison
The statement concerns a water system for the city of Huntsville and rural areas of Monroe County to provide a water supply more dependable than wells currently used. Construction of the project involves trenching for water distribution lanes from the existing main in Huntsville to outlying areas. Increased population density along the route is expected.
(ELR ORDER # 51486)

Alhambra 18-Hole Golf Facility, California 10/02

California
Proposed is the extension of the existing 9-hole golf Almansor golf facility to an 18-hole facility. The project will encompass 43 acres of land and the existing 9-hole course. When completed, the facility will provide a 6,070 yard championship course with a clubhouse, pro shop, restaurant, and parking facilities for approximately 200 automobiles. Construction disrupt-

ion will result.
(ELR ORDER # 51473)

Boston Demolition Plan 10/15

Massachusetts
The action, under the Community Development Block Grant Program is to demolish 900 buildings over two years. The purpose of the program is to remove fire and health hazards from seven areas of Boston: Roxbury, Dorchester, Jamaica Plain, South Boston, Franklin Field, Upham's Corner, and Mattapan. Adverse impacts of the project include traffic congestion at demolition sites, an increase in large-particle dust at demolition sites and an increase in ambient noise levels.
(ELR ORDER # 51525)

Great Alamance Creek Project 10/29

North Carolina
Phase I of the Great Alamance Creek Water Supply Project consists of the construction of a temporary weir and intake on Alamance Creek, a raw water pumping station, and a trunk main connection to distribution system. The project would provide water supply for the City of Burlington, North Carolina. Approximately 5 acres of land will be required for the structural measures.
(ELR ORDER # 51581)

Water Line Extension, Marshall County 10/15

Tennessee
County: Marshall
The project is the construction of a 9.3 mile long water line extension in Marshall County, Tennessee. The service area encompasses approximately 3,945 acres and 250 people. Construction of the line will require the disruption of Springplace Road (F.A.S. 4329) in four places and the crossing of existing fence rows and private driveways.
(ELR ORDER # 51526)

Mahon School Area Rehabilitation, Lubbock 10/30

Texas
The statement concerns the proposed rehabilitation of a 116.73 acre area around the Mahon School in Lubbock, Texas. The project consists of providing an improved housing supply of 675 units. Of this, 419 units will come from rehabilitation, 155 from redevelopment of 10.32 acres which are currently occupied by 45 structures, and 101 units will be constructed on vacant land. Twenty-five households will be displaced, and construction disruption will result.
(ELR ORDER # 51591)

Final — Date

Lightening Brook Channel Modification — 10/07

New Jersey
County: Union
The statement concerns a plan to provide flood protection, drainage improvement, and land enhancement along portions of the Elizabeth River (Lightening Brook). The project includes the construction of a concrete flume, concrete trapezoidal channel, and retention basin. Temporary construction disruption will result.
COMMENTS MADE BY:
(ELR ORDER # 51495)

Nautaushaun Brook Improvement Project — 10/29

New York
County: Rockland
The statement describes a proposed flood alleviation project including channelization of portions of the brook, replacement of structures incapable of withstanding a 100-year retention period flood, the acquisition of land for flood-water retention and right-of-way and easements for purposes of construction. Land use management alternatives are discusses in contrast to engineering solutions. Defoliging of the area and construction disruption will result.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA COE
state and county agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51582)

Williamson Creek Sewer Trunk, Temple — 10/02

Texas
Proposed is the installation of a sewer trunk line to serve northeastern Temple, including a presently undeveloped area proposed for residential development. The project will encourage development in northeast Temple. Construction disruption will result.
COMMENTS MADE BY:
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51472)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard
Director, Environmental Project Review
Room 7260
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

Bureau of Land Management

Draft	Date
North Umpqua Canyon	10/29

Oregon
County: Douglas
The statement concerns a multiple-use management plan for 16,000 acres of O&C lands. The plan includes road construction, timber management, and development of recreation facilities. Construction of a multi-purpose road on the south side of the North Umpqua River will adversely affect the fisheries resources, and sustained-yield timber management practices will cause impacts on vegetation and wildlife.
(ELR ORDER # 51583)

Bureau of Outdoor Recreation

Draft	Date
Gasconade Wild and Scenic River	10/03

Missouri
County: Pulaski Texas Laclede
Proposed is the legislative inclusion of a 66 mile sgement of the Gasconade River and a 52 mile segment of the Big Piney River in the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System. The proposal would also acknowledge that two river segments on the lower Gasconade are qualified for inclusion in the National System, but call for management of the two river areas to be accomplished through local initiative. The lower 104-mile stretch of Gasconade River will also be placed under Federal control if local efforts to meet the objectives of P.L. 90-542 are not successful. Commercial, residential, and agricultural land uses would be regulated.
(ELR ORDER # 51480)

Final	Date
North Country Trail	10/07

The statement discusses the recommendation that Congress authorize the concept of the North Country Trail. Such authorization would permit the eventual designation of a hiking trail, approximately 3,246 miles in length origina-

ting at the Appalachian Trail in Vermont, traversing New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan, Wisconsin, and Minnesota, and terminating at the proposed Lewis and Clark Trail in North Dakota. The Secretary of the Interior would have the authority to designate some sections of the trail "scenic" and recognize others as "recreational." Recreational use would cause contined disturbance of vegetation and wildlife, and soil compaction along the trail itself.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP COE DOI HUD DOT EPA FPC
state clearing houses
(ELR ORDER # 51497)

Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife

Final — Date

Hawaiian Islands Wilderness Area — 10/01

Hawaii
County: Honolulu
The statement refers to a legislative proposal that 1,741 acres within the 256,145 acre Hawaiian Islands National Refuge be designated as wilderness within the National Wilderness Preservation System. The area includes island groups, reefs, shoals, and adjacent submerged lands of the Leeward Hawaiian Islands.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC EPA
(ELR ORDER # 51468)

Wolf Creek National Fish Hatchery — 10/17

Kentucky
County: Russell
Proposed is the construction and operation of a national fish hatchery below Wolf Creek Dam, for the propagation of rainbow trout. Adverse impact will include the discharge of hatchery waste water to the Cumberland River, with resultant organic enrichment of river water.
(37 pages)
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE EPA HEW DOI
state agency
(ELR ORDER # 51536)

Proposed Back Bay Wilderness Area — 10/01

Virginia
Proposed is the legislative designation of 2,165 acres of Back Bay National Wildlife Refuge in Virginia Beach as wilderness within the National Wilderness Preservation System. Any impact from the action would derive from protection against exploitation of the natural resources.

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE EPA DOI
(ELR ORDER # 51466)

Geological Survey

Final — Date

Bell Ayr South Mine, Amax Coal Co. — 10/08

Wyoming
County: Campbell
Propose is the approval of a strip mining and reclamation plan for Belle Ayr South Mine, Amax Coal Company. The plan proposes expansion of the existing operation from 3.1 million to 15 million tons per year. The land surface, vegetation, and coal bed aquifers will be destroyed in the area mined, and there will be a permanent reduction in productivity on the 3,018 acres.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW FEA DOI ICC EPA
state and local agencies, power companies and conservation interests
(ELR ORDER # 51500)

National Park Service

Final — Date

White Sands National Monument — 10/17

New Mexico
County: Otero
The statement concerns the master plan for the White Sands National Monument for the next five years, which is based on general and specific mandates of Congress and NPS policies. A co-use permit with the Secretary of the Army has allowed missile impact and recovery in the western portion of the monument, thus precluding a wilderness designation. Construction of roads and visitor facilities in the outlying area will change the area from its present natural state.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOD EPA
(ELR ORDER # 51535)

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Draft | Date

Coke Oven Emissions | 10/17

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration proposes to regulate employee exposure to respirable particulates above a limit of 0.3 mg/m3. The proposal also provides for protective equipment and clothing, training, medical surveillance, and recordkeeping. The statement indicates no significant impacts on the environment external to the workplace, but lack of hard data prevents OSHA from quantifying the full extent of any type of environmental impact.
(ELR ORDER # 51539)

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Contact: Dr. Thomas O. Jones
Deputy Assistant to the Director
National and International Programs
Room 703
Washington, D C. 20550
(202) 632-4180

Final — Date

International Phase of Ocean Drilling — 10/16

Proposed is the support of the International Phase of Ocean Drilling of the Deep Sea Drilling Project, scheduled to begin late fall of 1975 as part of the National Science Foundation's Ocean Sediment Coring Program. The exploratory drilling would be performed in relatively few sites but to substantially greater depths than before. Areas with known reservoirs of hydrocarbons will be avoided. The possible release of major fluxes of natural fluids is the only event which could have a profound negative environmental impact.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USA DOI
(ELR ORDER # 51531)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. A. Giambusso, Director of Division
of Reactor Licensing
P-722, NRC
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 492-7373

Draft — Date

Co-60 Spark-Gap Irradiators, Licensing Exemption — 10/28

The statement concerns a proposed amendment to NRC regulations to exempt from requirements for a license the receipt, possession, use, transfer, export, ownership, or acquisition of spark-gap irradiators that contain cobalt-60 for use in spark-ignited fuel-oil burners. About 6000 microcurie of Co-60 could be distributed into the environment in 6000 discrete sources, each containing no more than 1 microcurie. Estimated external radiation doses to maximally exposed individuals are included.
(ELR OPDER # 51573)

William B. McGuire Sta., Units 1 and 2, Operation — 10/29

North Carolina
County: Mecklenburg
The proposed action is the issuance of operating licenses to the Duke Power Company for the startup and operation of the William B. McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. Both units will employ pressurized water reactors to produce up to 6822 thermal megawatts and 2360 MW net of electrical power capacity. Cooling condenser water could be heated to as high as 97 degrees F., and loss of fish due to impingement on the water intake screens will occur. Effluent will be discharged into the Catawba River.
(ELR ORDER # 51586)

Final — Date

Floating Nuclear Power Plants, Offshore Power System — 10/07

Florida
County: Duval
The statement refers to the issuance of a manufacturing license to Offshore Power Systems an Unincorporated venture of Westinghouse Electric and Westinghouse International Power Systems, Inc. for the startup and operation of a proposed manufacturing facility on Blount Island, Jacksonville. Eight floating nuclear power plants (FNP) will be constructed in a shipyard-like facility. Each plant has a pressurized water reactor of 3425 MWt (net) generating capacity. No nuclear fuel will be handled at the site. A second EIS will be issued to evaluate the generic impacts of siting and operations in the coastal waters of the

Atlantic Ocean and the Gulf of Mexico.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOC HEW HUD DOI USCG EPA FPC
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51498)

Jamesport Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2 10/09

New York
County: Suffolk
Proposed is the issuance of permits to the Long Island Lighting Company for the construction of the Jamestown Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located on Long Island Sound. The plant will employ two identical pressurized-water reactors to produce up to 3425 MWt each. Two steam turbine generators will use this heat to provide 1122 MWe(net) of electrical power capacity from each turbine-generator. A design power level of 3579 MWt(1169 MWe net) for each unit is anticipated in the future. The waste heat will be rejected by a once-through flow of water obtained from and discharged to the Long Island Sound. The cooling system will kill fish and organisms.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA DOC HEW DOI DOT EPA FDC FEA
state and local agencies
and citizen groups
(ELR ORDER # 51508)

Perkins Nuclear Station, Units 1-3, Construction 10/28

North Carolina
County: Davie
Proposed is the issuance of a construction permit to the Duke Power Company for the construction of the Perkins Nuclear Station Units 1,2, and 3. The units will produce 3817 MWt and 1280 MWe each. Make-up water for cooling will be drawn from and discharged to the Yadkin River. A total of 2402 acres will be used for the PNS site, displacing 26 families from the site proper and another 16 families from the Carter Creek area. Aquatic organisms entrained in the service water system will be killed due to thermal and mecanical shock, and there is potential for impingement of aquatic organisms at the intake structure as proposed.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOT HEW ERDA EPA FPC DOI
state and private agencies
and one individual
(ELR ORDER # 51563)

Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Sta., 2 & 3 10/06

Ohio
County: Ottawa
The proposed action is the issuance of construction permits to the Toledo Edison Company for the construction of the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Units 2 and 3. The station will employ identical pressurized-water reactors to produce 2772 megawatts thermal (MWt) each. A steam turbine

generator will use this heat to provide about 906 MWe (net) of electirc power capacity. A cooling tower will be constructed which will obtain water from and discharge it to Lake Erie. The project will require the change of about 100 acres from agricultural to industrial use.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE DOC HEW DOI USCG EPA
(ELR ORDER # 51484)

Cherokee Nuclear Stations, Units 1, 2 and 3 10/06

South Carolina
County: Cherokee
The proposed action is the issuance of a construction permit to the Duke Power Company for the construction of the Cherokee Nuclear Station Units 1, 2 and 3. The stations will employ three identical pressurized water reactors to produce up to approximately 3817 MWt each. A steam turbine generator will use this heat to provide 1280 MWe of electrical power capacity per unit. Water for cooling will be obtained from and discharged to the Broad River. A total of 2263 acres will be utilized for the site, displacing about 17 families. The cooling tower blowdown and chemical effluents from the station will increase the dissolved solids concentration in the Broad.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE USDA DOI DOT ERDA EPA FPC DOC HEW state agencies and the applicant
(ELR ORDER # 51493)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Contact: Dr. Peter Krenkel
Director of Environmental Planning
720 Edney Building
Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401
(615) 755-2002

Draft — Date

Poor Valley Creek State Park — 10/22

Tennessee
County: Hawkins
The proposed action is to enter into a cooperative agreement between TVA and the State of Tennessee to develop the Poor Valley Creek embayment of Cherokee Reservoir for use as a state park. The project includes the purchase of 435 acres of privately owned land and 1,468 acres easement from TVA, and the construction of a dam across Poor Valley Creek. Approximately 530 acres of land would be permanently inundated and seven families relocated.
(ELR ORDER # 51552)

Morton Ranch Uranium Mining — 10/03

Wyoming
County: Converse
The proposed action is the mining of the uranium deposits at Morton Ranch to provide nuclear fuel for TVA's nuclear electricity generating plants. The environmental impacts of the action will consist of land disturbance, fugitive dust generation, discharge of combustion gases, land erosion, some socioeconomic impacts, depression of groundwater levels, an increase in surface water runoff due to dewatering operations, and increased levels of dissolved minerals in rainwater runoff until overburden is reclaimed.
(ELR ORDER # 51481)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director
Office of Environmental Affairs
400 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

Federal Aviation Administration

Draft — Date

Clark Co. Airport, Jeffersonville, Indiana — 10/16

Indiana
County: Clark
The statement concerns the acquisition of 519 acres of land and a new airport for Clark County. The project will include the construction of a runway, entrance road and vehicle parking, administration building, airplane hangars and aprons, navigation and landing aids, and site improvements. The statement includes a description of construction in four phases, the last of which will end in 1998. Adverse impacts of the project include the loss of 600 acres to other uses, increased noise pollution, relocation of 6 households and increased air pollution.
(ELR ORDER # 51530)

Final — Date

Birmingham Municipal Airport Improvements — 10/28

Alabama
County: Jefferson
Proposed is the implementation of 3 improvement projects for the Birmingham Municipal Airport. These projects would involve the strengthening and widening of a connecting taxiway from the North-South Runway to the airplane run-up ramp, the strengthening of airplane parking aprons on each side of the existing Passenger Terminal Building, and site preparation for the extension of the taxiways for the N-S Runway. Adverse effects are limited to increase in noise levels for residents in the North and South approaches to the extended runway.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT HEW EPA HUD DOI
(ELR ORDER # 51577)

Federal Highway Administration

Draft — Date

South Canton Bypass — 10/09

Georgia

County: Cherokee
The statement concerns the construction of a 1.1 mile segment of the Canton Bypass from S.R. 20 and S.R. 5 to the intersection with the F-057 segment of the Canton Bypass, short of Scott Mill Road. Building the divided, four-lane roadway will require the displacement of 33 households and five businesses.
(ELR ORDER # 51506)

Appalachian Highway in North Georgia 10/14

Georgia
County: several
The statement provides five alternative routes for the construction of SR 400, the Appalachian Highway, from the Forsyth/Dawson County line to the North Carolina State line. Distances range from 58.7 miles to 72.2 miles long. All alternatives propose the construction of a 4-lane divided facility, and all will require blasting, relocation of families and businesses, and the crossing of streams and rivers within the corridor.
(ELR ORDER # 51523)

Brookwood Sta. Area Improvements, I-85, I-75 10/28

Georgia
County: Fulton DeKalb
Proposed are improvements of Brookwood Interchange (I-75, I-85 Interchange), I-75 extending 0.5 miles NW and I-85 extending 3.1 miles NE of the Brookwood Interchange. Project goals include the reduction of existing congestion at the Brookwood Interchange and improvement of the Facility's capacity such that it can accomodate anticipated traffic volume increases. The proposed action also includes the addition of driving lanes to I-85 and provision of an arterial connector facility connecting Pershing Point and Buford Hwy. Displacement of 8 families and 26 businesses will occur.
(ELR ORDER # 51570)

Columbus-Phenix City North Bypass 10/07

Georgia Alabama
The project consists of construction of the Columbus-Phenix Bypass from the Columbus-Manchester Expressway to US Route 280 (Opelika Road). Total distance of the project ranges from 12.5 to 15.5 miles depending upon the alignment chosen. Relocation of families (9 to 41) and businesses (0 to 5) will result from the project. A 4(f) statement is included concerning Idle Hour Park.
(ELR ORDER # 51499)

Interchange at Castle Junction 10/01

Hawaii
County: Honolulu
The statement concerns the improvement of the intersection of FAPRoute 61 and FAP Route 83 in Honolulu. One business and one family may be displaced. The statement includes a 4(f) statement concerning the public 18-hole Pali Golf Course. The War Memorial may also be displaced.
(ELR ORDER # 51469)

Kansas Hwy 177 and Kansas Hwy 18, Manhattan 10/09

Kansas
Proposed is the improvement of an expressway highway from southeast of Manhattan, Kansas north and northwesterly into the city. A new bridge over the Kansas River is included. Depending upon the design alternative selected, 80 to 91 people will be displaced, and forty-eight to seventy-four acres of land will be diverted from other use to highway right-of-way.
(ELR ORDER # 51507)

I-435 Extension (2nd Supplement) 10/24

Kansas
County: Johnson Wyandotte
The statement is the second draft supplement to the final eis. Proposed is the addition of 20.5 miles to Interstate 435 loop around Greater Kansas City, a minimum six-lane limited access facility including interchanges and bridge crossings of Kansas and Missouri Rivers. Adverse impacts of the project include the displacement of families and a church and the conversion of pasture and cultivated land to right-of-way. Air and noise pollution will be increased above ambient level. Wildlife habitat will be destroyed.
(ELR ORDER # 51561)

Relocated US 140 and Phase I Rapid Transit (Supplement) 10/10

Maryland
County: Baltimore
Proposed is the construction of relocated US Route 140 (Northwest Expressway) and Phase I of the Northwest Rapid Transit Line along the same alignment in Baltimore County. The project would run from the Baltimore City Line to Reisterstown. A 4(f) statement is included concerning a number of historic sites.
(ELR ORDER # 51511)

Brown Road, I-70 to I-270 10/30

Missouri
County: St. Charles St. Louis
The proposed highway improvement consists of the construction of a section of Freeway between I-70 and I-270 in Hazelwood. It will provide a six-lane, fully access controlled facility for a length of about 11 miles. Approximately 400 acres, 70% of which is highly productive farmland, will be required for the construction of the project. As many as 34 families will be displaced.
(ELR ORDER # 51592)

Brownville Bridge West, US 136 10/08

Nebraska
County: Nemaha
Proposed is the improvement of approximately 2 miles of US 136 in the vicinity of Brownville, Nebraska. The road will be two lane except in the village of Brownville where it will be four lane. Displacement of families and acquisition of land for right-of-way may be necessary depending upon the alternative chosen.
(ELR ORDER # 51501)

Center St. and Mercy Rd. Intersection 10/08

Nebraska
Proposed is the improvement of the intersection of Center Street and Mercy Road which also provides access to Ak-Sar-Ben grounds. The type of construction includes a viaduct over the Chicago and Northwestern Railroad tracks and Little Papillion Creek on Mercy Road and Center Street. Sidewalks will be provided for pedestrian traffic. The project will require acquisition of approximately 13 acres and the displacement of between 30 and 45 residences and four to five businesses.
(ELR ORDER # 51502)

US 83, North Platte South 10/31

Nebraska
County: Lincoln
Proposed is the improvement of a 3.3 mile portion of US 83 from two to four lanes. Acquisition of 95 acres of land will be required for the relocation which will be constructed on new alignment west of the existing roadway. Increased traffic will result in an increase in air and noise pollution.
(ELR ORDER # 51597)

I-287, US202 to NY State Line 10/09

New Jersey
County: Bergen Morris Passaic
The proposed project is part of an expressway system providing an 87 mile circumference around New York City. The 19-mile 6-lane segment will run from the present terminus of I-287 at US202 in Montville Township to the New York State Line in Mahwah Township. Construction of the facility will result in the displacement of 93 to 224 families and 29 to 57 businesses. A section 4(f) statement is included concerning impacts on parks and recreation lands.
(ELR ORDER # 51509)

I-88, Hinmans Corner to Port Crane 10/28

New York
County: Broome
The proposed project is a connection between the SW end of Interstate Route 88 and Interstate Route 81. The length of the main line of this connection is approximately 3 miles for each of the 6 alternates. Environmental effects of the project will fall mainly on residential and commercial properties, possibly as many as 466 in number. Plant and animal life in the area will be somewhat affected. Some increase in air and noise pollution is expected.
(ELR ORDER # 51567)

Loop 201, Baytown, Texas (Supplement) 10/03

Texas
County: Harris
Proposed is the construction of Loop 201 from McKinney Road to Ferry Road, a distance of 5.7 miles. The project will consist of a full control of access freeway with three main lanes in each direction, flanked by three lane frontage roads, within a usual 300 foot right of way. An increase in urbanization with related noise and air pollution, is expected adjacent to the corridor as a result of implementing the project.
(ELR ORDER # 51478)

USH 61, 151 and STH 35, Mississippi R. to Dickeyville 10/21

Wisconsin
County: Grant
The statement contains alternative improvement proposals for an 8 mile segment of concurrent U.S.H. 61, 151 and S.T.H. 35 between the Mississippi River and Dickeyville, Wisconsin, which will subsequently connect to a new Mississippi River bridge. Any of the alternatives would

result in the displacement of families and businesses and the expansion of urban growth. An additional 140 to 240 acres of land will be required for right-of-way.
(ELR ORDER # 51545)

Wautoma-Waupaca Road (STH 22, FAP 38) 10/30

Wisconsin
County: Portage Waupaca
Proposed is the construction of a 2-lane, bituminous surfaced roadway beginning at Portage-Waushara County Line and estending northeasterly to the city of Waupaca. The project would necessitate the acquisition of 190 acres of land and 6 homes. Minor changes would be made to the Badley Creek Fishery. A 4(f) statement is included.
COMMENTS MADE BY: (none)
(ELR ORDER # 51595)

Final Date

Route 15, San Diego 10/21

California
County: San Diego
Proposed is the conversion of 2.2 miles of 4-lane highway to freeway on SR-15 between IR-805 and 0.5 mile south of IR-8 in the City of San Diego. A wide, depressed freeway design has been selected for the project. Nagative impacts include the displacement of about 650 apartment units and homes plus 63 commercial units. Part of the area known as "Park de la Cruz" will be required, displacing a YMCA center. A 4(f) statement is included.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USA EPA DOT HEW HUD
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51548)

Iowa 2, Donnelson to U.S. 61 10/06

Iowa
County: Lee
The proposed project involves the reconstruction of Iowa 2 in Lee County, Iowa. The 9.1 mile project will cross both Big Sugar Creek and Devils Creek. Adverse impacts are the acquisition of 79 acres for right-of-way, and the displacement of 2 homes and 3 farm buildings.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW USDA DOI EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51485)

S.R. 1 10/21

Iowa
County: Johnson
The statement considers the proposed widening of two-lane Iowa 1 to four lanes, construction of a median separation, channelization of the intersection with U.S. 6-U.S. 218, and on interchange with proposed Freeway 518. Project length is 2.2 miles. Two businesses and fourteen families will be displaced; an unspecified amount of land will be committed to the action. Wildlife cover will be lost and ground nesting areas disturbed.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI USA
state, local and private agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51544)

US 67, Scott County, Iowa 10/28

Iowa
County: Scott
The statement refers to the reconstruction of US 67 from Riverdale to I 80. The 5.4 mile project will require the acquisition of 21 acres of additional right-of-way.

COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW USDA DOI EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51574)

U.S. 56, Marion County 10/28

Kansas
County: Marion
Proposed is the improvement of approximately 15 miles of U.S. 56, a 2-lane highway in Marion County, Kansas. The project includes right-of-way acquisition, extensive grading, bridge construction and surfacing. Adverse impacts include: the displacement of families and businesses, the destruction of some wildlife habitat, and the temporary noies, air and water pollution due to construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA HUD DOI DOT COE
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51571)

Interstate Highway 90, Minnesota 10/22

Minnesota
County: Faribault
The statement considers two alternate routes for the construction of a 6-mile section of 4-lane divided I-90 through or around a portion of the Walnut Lake Wildlife Area. The favored alternate which will pass through the Wildlife Area involves constructing a dike and access trail for,and in cooperation with the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources. Adverse effects of the action include loss of

agricultural land, hydraulic and wind erosion during construction, loss of wildlife cover, and encroachment on Section 4(f) land from the Walnut Lake Wildlife Area.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HEW USDA USA DOC
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51553)

Route 50, Cole County 10/20

Missouri
County: Cole
The statement refers to the proposed construction of Route 50 in Cole County. The 6 mile project consists of acquiring right-of-way for a 4 lane divided highway including a diamond, a modified diamond and a loop interchange. Adverse impacts are the use of 240 acres of land, and the removal of 11 houses, 1 commercial building, one telephone switching center and 3 sheds.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD DOI USDA EPA HEW DOT USA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51542)

U.S. 30 Bypass, North Platte 10/28

Nebraska
County: Lincoln
Proposed is an improved, controlled access facility which would bypass the central business district of North Platte on the east side with a connection to U.S. 83 on the south at the country road south of I-80 and with a connection to U.S. 30 and U.S. 83 on the north at 12th Street extended. Included in the project will be the improvement to 12th St. from Silbur Avenue east to the intersection with Bypass 1. Approximately 130 acres of additional right-of-way will be required. Crossing of streams and rivers could cause adverse environmental effects.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT COE USDA HUD EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51578)

Wellsville Arterial, Allegany County 10/29

New York
County: Allegany
The statement refers to the construction of a segment of highway in the Village of Wellsville in Allegany County between the SE junction and the northwest junction of Rtes. 17 and 19. The project is about 1.6 miles in length, and is located along the Genesee River, by-passing the main business section and residential areas. The project would require the acquisition and utilization of land from 2 village parks, Island Park and Tullar Field (a 4(f) statement is included) and would tend to sever the community with the utilization of controlled access right-of-way between at-

grade intersections. The project would have some effect on the Genessee River and the fish within the stream.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW DOI FPC EPA AHP DOT
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51585)

North-South Arterial 10/30

New York
County: Ulster
The statement refers to the construction of 2.48 miles of arterial highway through the City of Kingston and into the Towns of Esopus and Ulster. Adverse impacts include increased air and noise pollution and the displacement of 60 families and 4 businesses.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW DOI FPC EPA AHP DOT
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51593)

Northwest County Highway 10/14

Tennessee
County: Shelby
The proposed project involves the construction of Northwest County Highway between Millington and Arlington in north Shelby County, Tennessee. The project length is approximately 18 miles. Adverse impacts include the displacement of residences and businesses, loss of farmland and wildlife habitat, increased air and noise pollution, and temporary soil erosion and siltation during construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOC EPA HUD USDA TVA COE HEW
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51515)

State Route 6, Tennessee 10/21

Tennessee
County: Maury
The statement considers six alternatives for the construction of approximately 15 miles of a four lane divided highway which would serve as a principal connection between the Cities of Mt. Pleasant and Columbia. Adverse effects are the displacement of some residences and businesses as a result of right-of-way acquisition; loss of some natural resources and increases in noise and air pollution.

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HUD DOI DOT TVA HEW EPA
state and local agencies
and interest groups
(ELR ORDER # 51549)

U.S. Route 4, Vermont 10/06

Vermont
County: Rutland
Proposed is the construction of approximately 4.3 miles of US Route 4 on new location. The project will be a 4-lane divided, controlled-access facility and involves structures at five locations. Three hundred and nine acres are required for right-of-way. One residence, one vacant house and one wood products business will be displaced; two farm operations will be affected.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD EPA DOC USDA FPC HEW DOI
(ELR ORDER # 51490)

I-295, Richmond 10/17

Virginia
County: Chesterfield Hanover Henrico
Proposed is the construction of a 37.4 mile segment of the circumferential route around Richmond, Virginia. Route 295 has its southern terminus near Proctors Creek on Route 1/301, south of Richmond, and extends to the east and north of Richmond to terminate at an interchange with proposed Route 288 and I-64. The project will require the displacement of 14 businesses and 96 families and will cross the Hoke Brady Road, a part of the Richmond National Battlefield Tour Route and the site of Union communications trenches. A 4(f) statement is included.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI, state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51534)

State Trunk Highway 21, Juneau County 10/16

Wisconsin
County: Juneau
The statement refers to the proposed reconstruction and resurfacing of State Trunk Highway 21 in Juneau County. The project begins at the Juneau-Monroe County line and extends easterly 15.11 miles through the village of Necedah to the Wisconsin River. The westerly 9.56 miles involve reconstruction of the existing roadway and the easterly 5.55 miles involve resurfacing. The project will require acquisition of approximately 90 acres from private individuals and from the U.S. Government.
(26 pages)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA DOI
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51532)

Urban Mass Transportation Administration

Draft	Date
Bicentennial Transportation Program	10/03

District of Co
The statement concerns federal assistance for a two part Bicentennial Transportation Program. The program consists of a fringe parking lot/bus shuttle from RFK Stadium, North Parking Lot of the Pentagon, and Ft. Myer South Post to the Mall; and special radial bus service along 17 existing bus corridors from outlying areas to downtown. The program will be in effect from March to October 1976. Short term pollution in Fringe parking areas will result, and construction of the 4,000 car parking lot at Ft. Myer South Post will cause temporary negative effects.
(ELR ORDER # 51477)

MARTA (Supplement)	10/21

Georgia
The supplement concerns changes in the MARTA system at the Vine City Station, Techwood Station, Tucker-North DeKalb Corridor, Candler Park Station, and East Lake Station. Adverse impacts of the action include the acquisition of land and 310 relocations, the demolition of buildings, the disturbance of the Mason Mills Sports Center and Vine City area, now under consideration for nomination to the National Register. Nine acres of hardwood forest at North Decatur Road and seven acres of forested land west of the SCL Railroad at North Durid Hills would be impacted by these changes.
(ELR ORDER # 51547)

South Quincy Area Transit Station	10/31

Massachusetts
Proposed is the construction of the South Quincy area rapid transit station that would improve accessibility to South Shore residents into the city of Boston. Plans for the station include provisions for feeder bus and local bus access, "kiss and ride" dropoff, and pedestrian access. The project would require the use of 3.6 acres of wetlands out of a total 20.6 acres. The parking garage will cause a visual impact from the residential area.
(ELR ORDER # 51599)

U.S.Coast Guard

Draft — Date

LORAN-C Chain, Moses Lake, Washington (Supplement) — 10/31

Washington
County: Grant
The statement is a supplement concerning the Moses Lake project site of the LORAN-C at Moses Lake, Washington. Site activities may increase the sediment load in the Frenchman Hills Wasteway, and normal construction disruption will result.
(ELR ORDER # 51600)

Final — Date

Deepwater Port Regulations — 10/03

The statement refers to proposed Federal regulations governing various aspects of the design, construction, and operation of Deepwater Ports, in implementation of the Deepwater Ports Act of 1974. The regulations generally address major design and construction criteria, oil transfer rules, navigation rules, personnel requirements, and equipment and procedures requirements.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC COE FEA EPA HEW HUD ICC DOI DLAB FPC TREA states and organizations
(ELR ORDER # 51475)

LORAN-C Chain, West Coast/Gulf of Alaska — 10/14

The proposed action provides for the establishment of a new LORAN-C radio-navigation chain along the US West Coast and in the Gulf of Alaska. Stations will be located in Searchlight and Fallon, Nevada; Middletown, California; Moses Lake, Washington; Ketchikan (Shoal Cove), Tok, Sitkinak, Alaska, and at an as-yet undefined location in southwestern Canada. This eis concerns only the non-Alaska stations. There will be some visual impact at all stations due to the height of the towers; adverse impact may occur in especially scenic areas.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOD DOC DOI AHP EPA USCG USDA COE state and local agencies and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51513)

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Contact: (For Medical Facilities)
Mr. Arthur W. Farmer
Assistant Chief, Medical Director for
Administration and Facilities
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20420
(For Housing)
Mr. R.C. Coon
Director, Loan Guarantee Service
Veterans Administration
810 Vermont Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20420
(202) 389-2332

Final — Date

Added Parking Facilities, VA. Hosp.,Iowa City — 10/23

Iowa
The project will provide a parking structure of three levels for approximately 250 spaces on the existing VA Hospital site, Iowa City, Iowa with structural capacity for a future expansion of an additional 3 levels. There will be demolition of about 24 existing parking spaces, producing a net gain of 476 spaces. Adverse impacts are increased concentration of air pollutants, increased utilization of land and reduction of open space, and general construction disruption.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA HEW DOI DOT EPA
state, local and private agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51554)

Summary of 102 Statements Filed with the CEQ Through 10/31/75 (By Agency)

Agency	Draft 102's for actions on which no final 102's have yet been received	Final 102's on legislation and actions	Total actions on which draft or final statements have been received
Agriculture, Department of	197	574	771
Appalachian Regional Commission	1	0	1
Architect of the Capitol	0	1	1
Atomic Energy Commission	42	144	186
Canal Zone Government	0	1	1
Civil Aeronautics Board	0	1	1
Commerce, Department of	20	39	59
Defense, Department of	8	7	15
Air Force	11	18	29
Army	3	20	23
Army Corps of Engineers	603	828	1431
Navy	13	32	45
Delaware River Basin Commission	2	8	10
Energy Policy Office	0	1	1
Energy Research & Development Admin.	3	2	5
Environmental Protection Agency	22	68	90
Federal Energy Administration	3	1	4
Federal Maritime Commission	2	0	2
Federal Power Commission	100	39	139
General Services Administration	23	87	110
HEW, Department of	5	13	18
HUD, Department of	48	121	169
Interior, Department of	172	304	476
International Boundary and Water Commission -- U.S. and Mexico	1	8	9
Interstate Commerce Commission	9	5	14
Justice, Department of	2	3	5
Labor, Department of	5	2	7
National Aeronautics and Space Adm.	9	22	31
National Capital Planning Comm.	5	8	13
National Science Foundation	1	6	7
New England River Basins Commission	2	0	2
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	7	16	23
Office of Science and Technology	0	1	1
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.	3	0	3
Pennsylvania Ave. Devel. Corp.	0	1	1
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.	1	0	1
State Department	3	5	8
Tennessee Valley Authority	9	24	33
Transportation, Department of	991	2020	3011
Treasury, Department of	4	7	11
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.	1	0	1
U.S. Postal Service	3	0	3
U.S. Water Resources Council	6	9	15
Veterans Administration	2	7	9
TOTALS	2342	4453	6795

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

AVAILABILITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY COMMENTS ON ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT STATEMENTS AND OTHER
ACTIONS IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT

OFFICE OF THE
ADMINISTRATOR

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendicies during the period of October 1, 1975 and October 31, 1975.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements as set forth in Appendix I.

Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix IV contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed but not commented upon by EPA during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, and the source of the EPA review as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix V contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, legislation proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing pursuant to section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, during the referenced reviewing period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the proposed action, the title of the action, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix VI contains a listing of the names and addresses of the sources of EPA reviews and comments listed in Appendices I, III, IV and V.

Copies of the EPA Manual setting forth the policies and procedures for EPA's review of agency actions may be obtained by writing the Public Information Reference Unit, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 2922, Waterside Mall SW, Washington, DC 20460, telephone AC 202/755-2808. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements referenced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency.

Rebecca W. Hanmer

Rebecca W. Hanmer
Acting Director
Office of Federal Activities

Dated: 14 NOV 1975

APPENDIX I

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN OCTOBER 1, 1975 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
LD-AFS-A65121-00:	PROGRAM FOR THE NATION'S RENEWABLE RESOURCES AS REQUIRED BY THE FOREST AND RANGELAND RENEWABLE RESOURCES PLANNING ACT OF 1974	*	A
D-AFS-E65005-NC:	NANTAHALA UNIT, NANTAHALA NATIONAL FOREST, CLAY, MACON, SWAIN, AND GRAHAM COUNTIES, NORTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-AFS-E65006-MS:	DELTA UNIT PLAN, DELTA NATIONAL FOREST, SHARKEY COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI	LO-2	E
D-AFS-G65010-TX:	CONROE UNIT, SAM HOUSTON NATIONAL FOREST, WALKER AND MONTGOMERY COUNTIES, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-AFS-J02003-MT:	OIL AND GAS LEASE APPLICATION EXPLORATION AND DEVELOPMENT, FLATHEAD NATIONAL FOREST, MONTANA	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J65023-UT:	LAND USE PLAN, MONTICELLO PLANNING UNIT, MANTI-LASAL NATIONAL FOREST, SAN JUAN COUNTY, UTAH	LO-2	I
D-AFS-J65024-MT:	MULTIPLE USE PLAN, DICKEY SUNDAY PLANNING UNIT, KOOTENAI NATIONAL FOREST, MONTANA	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J65025-UT:	TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN, DIXIE NATIONAL FOREST, UTAH	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J65026-UT:	LONE PEAK WILDERNESS STUDY, UINTA AND WASATCH NATIONAL FOREST, SALT LAKE AND UTAH COUNTIES, UTAH	ER-2	I
D-AFS-J65028-MT:	PETTY MOUNTAIN PLANNING UNIT, LOLO NATIONAL FOREST, MISSOULA COUNTY, MONTANA	LO-1	I
D-AFS-L61039-ID:	EMERALD CREEK PLANNING UNIT, ST. JOE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	LO-2	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-AFS-I61046-ID:	MULTIPLE USE PLAN, HORSE CREEK ADMINISTRATIVE-RESEARCH PROJECT, NEZPERCE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	LO-1	K
D-SCS-B36006-CT:	FARM BROOK WATERSHED, NEW HAVEN COUNTY, CONNECTICUT	LO-1	B
D-SCS-D36011-VA:	CEDAR RUN WATERSHED, FAUQUIER COUNTY, VIRGINIA	ER-2	D
D-SCS-G36031-AR:	SOUTH FOURCHE WATERSHED, PERRY, YELL, SALINE AND GARLAND COUNTIES, ARKANSAS	LO-2	G
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
D-COE-B28001-00:	NORTHEASTERN US WATER SUPPLY STUDY AND NORTHFIELD MOUNTAIN WATER SUPPLY	ER-2	B
D-COE-B30001-CT:	NEW LONDON HURRICANE PROTECTION PROJECT, NEW LONDON COUNTY, NEW LONDON, CONNECTICUT	LO-2	B
D-COE-E60002-00:	LITTLE RIVER DEVELOPMENT PLAN, CLARK HILL LAKE, GEORGIA AND SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-COE-F32024-MI:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF THE FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHANNELS, LAKE ST. CLAIR, MICHIGAN	ER-1	F
D-COE-F32025-MI:	GRAND HAVEN HARBOR AND THE GRAND RIVER, MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF THE FEDERAL NAVIGATION CHANNELS, MICHIGAN	ER-2	F
D-COE-F32026-MI:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, FEDERAL NAIVGATION CHANNELS, ST. CLAIR RIVER, MICHIGAN	LO-2	F
D-COE-F32027-MI:	SAGINAW RIVER AND SAGINAW BAY, MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF NAVIGATION CHANNELS, MICHIGAN	LO-2	F
D-COE-F32028-OH:	ROCKY RIVER HARBOR, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO	LO-1	F
D-COE-F32029-MI:	ST. MARYS RIVER AND STRAITS OF MACKINAC, MAINTENANCE DREDGING, MICHIGAN	LO-2	F
D-COE-F35014-OH:	DIKED DISPOSAL FACILITY SITE NO. 14, LAKE ERIE, CLEVELAND HARBOR, OHIO	LO-2	F
D-COE-F36026-IN:	LOGJAM REMOVAL PROJECT, WABASH RIVER, ADAMS COUNTY, INDIANA	LO-2	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-COE-G36030-LA:	BAYOU DES FAMILLES CORPORATION, FLOOD PROTECTION, JEFFERSON COUNTY, LOUISIANA	ER-2	G
D-COE-J35000-ND:	PEMBILIER LAKE AND DAM, BEMBINA RIVER BASIN, CAVALIER AND PEMBIA COUNTIES NORTH DAKOTA	ER-2	I
D-COE-K35005-CA:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, FEDERAL NAVIGATION PROJECT, SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION, CALIFORNIA	LO-2	J
D-COE-L39004-AK:	PROPOSED SMALL BOAT HARBOR, NO. 2, WRANGELL, ALASKA	LO-2	K
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			
D-NOA-E61014-FL:	KEY LARGO CORAL REEF MARINE SANCTUARY, FLORIDA	LO-2	E
RD-NOA-A86092-00:	PERMITS TO COMMERCIAL FISHERMEN ALLOWING THE TAKING OF MARINE MAMMALS IN THE COURSE OF NORMAL COMMERCIAL FISHING OPERATIONS	ER-2	A
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION			
D-ERD-A00112-00:	US NUCLEAR POWER EXPORT ACTIVITIES	ER-2	A
FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION			
LD-FEA-A09037-00:	ELECTRIC POWER FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION INCENTIVES ACT OF 1975	LO-2	A
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION			
D-FPC-E05004-AL:	HOLT, BANKHEAD, AND LEWIS SMITH PROJECTS, NO. 2203 and 2165, TUSCALOOSA COUNTY, ALABAMA	ER-2	E
D-FPC-E05005-GA:	ROCKY MOUNTAIN PROJECT NO. 2725, HEATH CREEK, FLOYD COUNTY, GEORGIA	LO-2	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
D-HUD-C99001-NY:	CONSTRUCTION OF ACCESS ROADS, WATER AND SEWER LINES TO PROPOSED ARCADIA INDUSTRIAL PARK, WAYNE COUNTY, NEW YORK	LO-2	C
D-HUD-D89016-PA:	UNIVERSITY CITY URBAN RENEWAL, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA	3	D
D-HUD-E89002-TN:	UNIVERSITY CENTER URBAN RENEWAL AREA I, NASHVILLE AND DAVIDSON COUNTIES, TENNESSEE	LO-2	E
D-HUD-F85005-IN:	SUNBLEST SUBDIVISION, FISHERS, HAMILTON COUNTY, INDIANA	LO-2	F
D-HUD-F89001-OH:	DEMOLITION PROGRAM, CLEVELAND, OHIO	LO-2	F
D-HUD-H91000-MO:	DANGEROUS BUILDINGS AND DEMOLITION PROGRAM, KANSAS CITY, JACKSON COUNTY, MISSOURI	3	H
D-HUD-J85000-CO:	HUTCHINSON'S GREEN MOUNTAIN VILLAGE, LAKEWOOD, JEFFERSON COUNTY, COLORADO	EU-2	I
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
D-BIM-A02081-00:	PROPOSED 1975 OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF OCS OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE NO. 41, GULF OF MEXICO	LO-2	A
D-BIM-A03052-00:	ALASKA NATURAL GAS TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM	3	A
D-BOR-H61000-00:	PROPOSED OREGON NATIONAL HISTORIC TRAIL, KANSAS, MISSOURI, NEBRASKA, WYOMING, IDAHO AND OREGON	LO-1	H
D-BOR-J61008-00:	LEWIS AND CLARK NATIONAL HISTORIC TRAIL, ILLINOIS, MISSOURI, KANSAS, NEBRASKA, SOUTH DAKOTA, NORTH DAKOTA, MONTANA, IDAHO, OREGON AND WASHINGTON	LO-2	I
D-IBR-G32021-NM:	RIO GRANDE, VALARDE TO CABALLO DAM, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NEW MEXICO	LO-2	G
D-IGS-A02078-CA:	OIL AND GAS DEVELOPMENT PLANS, SANTA BARBARA CHANNEL, OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF OCS, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	A
D-NPS-J61007-UT:	PROPOSED ZION MASTER PLAN, ZION NATIONAL PARK, UTAH	LO-1	I

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
D-CGD-C52001-NY:	LORAN-C CHAIN, TRANSMITTING STATION, SENECA COUNTY, NEW YORK	LO-2	C
D-CGD-F50002-00:	OHIO RIVER BRIDGE AND RELOCATED US 22, WEIRTON, WEST VIRGINIA TO STEUBENVILLE, OHIO	LO-2	F
D-CGD-L34002-AK:	GULF OF ALASKA LORAN-C CHAIN, ALASKA	LO-1	K
D-FAA-D51003-VA:	NEW KENT COUNTY, AIRPORT, QUINTON, VIRGINIA	ER-2	D
D-FHW-B40012-NH:	NH-101, HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, MILFORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE	LO-1	B
D-FHW-D40022-WV:	I-64, BRAGG TO SAM BLACK CHURCH, RALEIGH, SUMMERS AND GREENBRIAR COUNTIES, WEST VIRGINIA	LO-2	D
D-FHW-D40024-PA:	IR 1061, SECTIONS 6-10, RELOCATED US 220, BLAIR COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	LO-2	D
D-FHW-D40025-PA:	LR 1071, SECTION 50, I-70, MONONGAHELA VALLEY TO ARNOLD CITY, WASHINGTON, FAYETTE, AND WESTMORELAND COUNTIES, PENNSYLVANIA	LO-2	D
D-FHW-E40051-NC:	US 321, BLOWING ROCK TO BOONE, WATAUGA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40052-00:	LOUISA-FORT GAY BRIDGE, LAWRENCE COUNTY, KENTUCKY, WAYNE COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40054-AL:	FLORIDA STREET, MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40056-FL:	FL-A-1-A, FROM DANIA TO FT LAUDERDALE, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40057-GA:	15TH STREET TO GREENE OR TELFAIR STREETS, RICHMOND COUNTY, GEORGIA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40058-GA:	US 301, SCREVEN COUNTY, GEORGIA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40059-FL:	FL-21, BLANDING BOULEVARD, CLAY COUNTY, FLORIDA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-F40035-OH:	DETROIT-ROCKY RIVER BRIDGE REPLACEMENT, LAKEWOOD ROCKY RIVER, CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO	LO-2	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-FHW-F40036-WI:	ONEIDA STREET BRIDGE AND APPROACHES, OUTAGAMIE COUNTY, WISCONSIN	LO-2	F
D-FHW-F40037-WI:	WI-14, BROOKLYN-MADISON ROAD, DANE COUNTY, WISCONSIN	LO-1	F
D-FHW-G40037-LA:	LA-641, US 61 TO I-10, ST. JAMES PARISH, LOUISIANA	ER-2	G
D-FHW-H40031-NB:	US 81 AND US 30, COLUMBUS AND VICINITY, PLATTE, POLK AND BUTLER COUNTIES, NEBRASKA	ER-2	H
D-FHW-H40032-NB:	90TH STREET, OMAHA, DOUGLAS COUNTY, NEBRASKA	ER-2	H
D-FHW-K40024-CA:	FRESNO TRANSPORTATION FACILITY, CA-41 AND CA-180, FRESNO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	3	J
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY			
D-TVA-E09001-00:	POLICIES RELATING TO ELECTRIC POWER RATES, TENNESSEE, ALABAMA, GEORGIA, KENTUCKY, VIRGINIA, MISSISSIPPI, AND NORTH CAROLINA	LO-1	E

*EPA RATED PROGRAM DIRECTIONS I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VIII AS ER-2 AND PROGRAM DIRECTION VII AS EU-2.

APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE
OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO--Lack of Objection

EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER--Environmental Reservations

EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU-Environmentally Unsatisfactory

EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1--Adequate

The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2--Insufficient Information

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or

action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3--Inadequate

EPA believes that the iraft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX III

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN OCTOBER 1, 1975 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
ARCHITECT OF THE CAPITOL			
F-AOC-A09006-DC:	CAPITOL POWER PLANT, WASHINGTON, DC	EPA EXPRESSED ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS AS TO THE CAPABILITY OF THE PROJECT'S PROPOSED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL TECHNIQUES TO MEET THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA'S PARTICULATE EMISSION STANDARDS. NONETHELESS, STACK EMISSION TESTS TO BE PERFORMED BY EPA TO DETERMINE THE BURDEN OF PARTICULATE POLLUTION ON THE PROPOSED CONTROL DEVICES WILL BE MADE IN AN ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE PARTLY THIS ISSUE.	D
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
F-COE-A32327-NJ: F-COE-A32328-NJ: F-COE-A32329-NJ: F-COE-A32494-NJ: F-COE-A39060-NJ:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE NEW JERSEY INTRACOASTAL WATERWAYS; MANASQUAN, BARNEGAT, ABSECON AND COLD SPRINGS INLETS, NEW JERSEY	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	C
F-COE-A34115-OK:	WAURIKA LAKE, BEAVER CREEK, OKLAHOMA	EPA HAS EXPRESSED ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA'S CONCERNS CENTER AROUND THE IMPACT OF THE PROJECT ON WATER QUALITY AND THE USE OF THE WATER PROVIDED BY THE PROJECT FOR PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY.	G
F-COE-A35102-CA:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF MORRO BAY HARBOR, SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-COE-A35113-WI:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING AND DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL, MANITOWOC AND TWO RIVERS HARBORS, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA REQUESTED THE OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT ON THE EFFLUENT MONITORING PLANS IN REGARD TO PROJECT RELATED IMPACTS.	F
FS-COE-A39052-PA:	TREXLER LAKE, JORDAN CREEK, LEHIGH COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	EPA WITHHELD JUDGEMENT ON THE PROJECT PENDING FURTHER INVESTIGATION BEING CONDUCTED BY THE US GEOLOGICAL SURVEY REGARDING THE POSSIBLE ADVERSE EFFECTS ON GROUNDWATER IN THE LOWER JORDAN CREEK WATERSHED.	D
F-COE-A60084-WI:	MILWAUKEE HARBOR, MAINTENANCE DREDGING, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	C
F-COE-F32007-OH:	CONNEAUT HARBOR, ASHTABULA COUNTY OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE, OHIO	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-F32018-OH:	NAVIGATION PROJECT, HURON HARBOR, ERIE COUNTY, OHIO	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-F32022-MI:	GREAT LAKES-ST. LAWRENCE SEAWAY NAVIGATION SEASON EXTENSION DEMONSTRATION PROGRAMS FY 1976, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-F36013-IL:	EAST MOLINE, FLOOD PROTECTION SYSTEM, ILLINOIS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-F36014-MN:	UPPER AND LOWER REDI LAKES, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MINNESOTA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-COE-K36002-CA:	SACRAMENTO RIVER AND MAJOR AND MINOR TRIBUTARIES, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION			
F-GSA-K60002-CA:	DISPOSAL OF HILL 733 MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, EL TORO, ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT			
F-HUD-C36015-NJ:	LIGHTNING BROOK TO TRIBUTARY, CHANNEL MODIFICATION PROJECT, UNION COUNTY, NEW JERSEY	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	C
F-HUD-C36016-NY:	PASCACK BROOK IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, ROCKLAND COUNTY, NEW YORK	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA SUGGESTED THAT THE VALUE OF THE PASCACK BROOK AS A POTENTIAL FRESH WATER FISHERY MIGHT BE SLIGHTLY IMPAIRED.	C
F-HUD-D85002-DE:	WILTON, NEW CASTLE COUNTY, DELAWARE	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D
F-HUD-D89013-MD:	UPTON URBAN RENEWAL, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
F-IBR-K32001-00:	GRANITE REEF AQUEDUCT TRANSMISSION SYSTEM, CENTRAL ARIZONA PROJECT, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, MOHAVE, YUMA AND MARICOPA COUNTIES, ARIZONA	EPA EXPRESSED ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA HAS CONTINUING CONCERNS RELATING TO THE SECONDARY EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND THE PRIMARY EFFECTS ON THE DESERT ECOSYSTEM FROM THE USE OF NATURAL WASHES AND THE ACCESS PROVIDED BY MAINTENANCE ROADS.	J
F-NPS-A61287-HI:	PROPOSED MASTER PLAN, HAWAII VOLCANOES NATIONAL PARK, HAWAII	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
F-NPS-F61002-00:	LOWER ST. CROIX NATIONAL SCENIC RIVERWAY, MINNESOTA AND WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-SFW-A64004-HI:	HAWAIIAN ISLANDS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, WILDERNESS AREA, HONOLULU COUNTY, HAWAII	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
F-CGD-K52001-00:	LORAN-C CHAIN RADIONAVIGATION SYSTEM, WEST COAST AND GULF OF ALASKA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	J
FS-FAA-A51269-MI:	ADDENDUM, DEVELOPMENT AT DETROIT METROPOLITAN WAYNE COUNTY AIRPORT, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-FHW-A41336-NJ:	I-55 FREEWAY, GLOUCESTER COUNTY, BETWEEN NJ-42 AND NJ-40, NEW JERSEY	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA RECOMMENDED THAT WETLANDS BE PRESERVED THROUGH USE OF A PILE-SUPPORTED ROAD.	C
F-FHW-A41667-VA:	VA-58, MECKLENBURG COUNTY, VIRGINIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	D
NF-FHW-A42211-WI:	DURAND-EAU CLAIRE ROAD, WI-85, DUNN AND EAU CLAIRE COUNTIES, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
F-FHW-C40006-NY:	NEWBURGH-BEACON BRIDGE EXPANSION, I-84, HUDSON RIVER CROSSING, ORANGE AND DUTCHESS COUNTIES, NEW YORK	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER, EPA IS CONCERNED WITH THE NOISE IMPACT, PARTICULARLY WITH REGARD TO THE SELECTION OF NOISE DESCRIPTORS AND THE EVALUATION OF MITIGATIVE MEASURES.	C
F-UMT-A54029-DC:	METROPOLITAN WASHINGTON REGIONAL RAPID RAIL TRANSIT SYSTEM, METRO, WASHINGTON, DC	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA ALSO NOTED CONTINUING INTEREST IN THE PROJECT'S ON-GOING DEVELOPMENT AS IT WILL RELATE TO EPA'S PROGRAMS TO ATTAIN AND MAINTAIN AIR QUALITY IN THE WASHINGTON REGION.	D

APPENDIX IV

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS WHICH
WERE REVIEWED AND NOT COMMENTED ON BETWEEN
OCTOBER 1, 1975 and OCTOBER 31, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE		
F-AFS-G65003-TX:	LYNDON B. JOHNSON NATIONAL GRASSLANDS, FANNIN COUNTY, TEXAS	G
F-AFS-J65012-CO:	TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR MEDICINE BOW NATIONAL FOREST, COLORADO	I
F-AFS-L61016-ID:	LAND USE PLAN, SOUTH FORK SALMON RIVER PLANNING UNIT, BOISE AND PAYETTE NATIONAL FORESTS, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L61022-ID:	MULTIPLE USE PLAN, RAINY DAY PLANNING UNIT, NEZPERCE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO COUNTY, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L60127-ID:	LAND USE PLAN, SHAFER BUTTE PLANNING UNIT, BOISE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L61028-ID:	MOOSE CREEK BASIN PLANNING UNIT, SALMON NATIONAL FOREST, SALMON, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L61029-ID:	LAND USE PLAN, GARDEN VALLEY PLANNING UNIT, BOISE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	K
F-AFS-L61033-ID:	LAND USE PLAN, CASCADE PLANNING UNIT, BOISE NATIONAL FOREST, VALLEY COUNTY, IDAHO	K
F-REA-E07001-AL:	TOMBIGBEE UNIT NUMBERS 2 AND 3, AND RELATED 230 KV TRANSMISSIONS LINES, ALABAMA	E
F-SCS-A36392-MO:	SOUTH FORK BLACKWATER RIVER WATERSHED, MISSOURI	H
F-SCS-D36006-PA:	PINE RUN WATERSHED, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA	D
F-SCS-D36009-WV:	NORTH AND SOUTH MILL CREEK SUBWATERSHED GRANT, PENDLETON AND HARDY COUNTIES, WEST VIRGINIA	D

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
F-SCS-G36003-OK:	POTT-SEM-TURKEY WATERSHED, SEMINOLE AND POTTAWATOMIE COUNTIES, OKLAHOMA	G
F-SCS-G36005-OK:	UPPER MUDDY BOGGY CREEK WATERSHED, COAL, HUGHES, PITTSPURG, PONTOTOC COUNTIES OKLAHOMA	G
F-SCS-G36014-NM:	ZUNI PUEBLO WATERSHED PROJECT, MCKINLEY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO	G
F-SCS-L36010-OR:	ROCK CREEK WATERSHED WORK PLAN, GILLIAM AND MORROW COUNTIES, OREGON	K
CORPS OF ENGINEERS		
F-COE-A30078-FL:	BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECT, DUVAL COUNTY, FLORIDA	E
F-COE-A32489-OK:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM, MCCLELLAN-KERR, ARKANSAS RIVER NAVIGATION SYSTEM, OKLAHOMA	G
F-COE-A32523-NY:	BLACK ROCK CHANNEL AND TONAWANDA HARBOR, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NEW YORK	C
FS-COE-A34081-TX:	AUBREY LAKE, ELM FORK OF THE TRINITY RIVER, TEXAS	G
F-COE-A34130-OK:	BIG PINE LAKE, BIG PINE CREEK, RED RIVER COUNTY, OKLAHOMA	G
F-COE-A35090-AL:	BAYOU LA BATRE MAINTENANCE DREDGING, MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA	E
F-COE-A35098-WA:	SEATTLE HARBOR, MAINTENANCE DREDGING PROJECT, WASHINGTON	K
F-COE-A36255-SC:	SCOTTS CREEK PROJECT, NEWBERRY COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA	E
F-COE-A36330-MO:	LOST AND LITTLE LOST CREEK, LOCAL FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECT, MISSOURI	H
F-COE-A60051-KY:	DISPOSAL OF GOVERNMENT LAND, LAKE BARKLEY, LYON COUNTY, KENTUCKY	E
FS-COE-A61012-KY:	KEHOE LAKE, TYGARTS CREEK, KENTUCKY	E
F-COE-C35007-NY:	MAINTENANCE OF BROWNS CREEK, LONG ISLAND, NAVIGATION PROJECT, NEW YORK	C
F-COE-A34138-AR:	LONG LAKE AREA, HELENA, ARKANSAS	G

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
F-COE-E02002-AL:	PERMIT, CHEVRON OIL COMPANY, DREDGING, MOBILE RIVER DELTA, BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA	E
F-COE-E36002-FL:	SOUTH DADE CONVEYANCE CANALS AND EAST COAST BACKPUMPING, CNETRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA	E
F-COE-G32009-TX:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, CLEAR CREEK AND CLEAR LAKE, TEXAS	G
F-COE-G32010-TX:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, DOUBLE BAYOU, CHAMBERS COUNTY, TEXAS	G
F-COE-G34002-TX:	LAKE BROWNWOOD MODIFICATION, TEXAS	G
F-COE-H34002-MO:	CLARENCE CANNON DAM AND RESERVOIR, SALT RIVER, MISSOURI	H
F-COE-L36005-00:	CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, MANAGEMENT, COLUMBIA RIVER, UMATILLA TO THE DALLES, OREGON AND WASHINGTON	K
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE		
FS-USA-E11001-00:	CONTROL OF BLACKBIRDS ON FORT CAMPBELL, KENTUCKY AND MILAN ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT, TENNESSEE	E
F-USN-G81004-LA:	NAVAL PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLEX, BELLE CHASSE, PLAQUEMINES COUNTY, LOUISIANA	G
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION		
F-FPC-J05000-UT:	AMERICAN FORK CREEK PROJECT NO. 696, UTAH	I
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING URBAN DEVELOPMENT		
F-HUD-E85004-GA:	STONELEIGH SUBDIVISION, DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA	E
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR		
F-NPS-A61251-AR:	PROPOSED MASTER PLAN, BUFFALO NATIONAL RIVER, ASKANSAS	G

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
F-NPS-A61288-CO:	PROPOSED MASTER PLAN, ROCKY MOUNTAIN NATIONAL PARK, COLORADO	I
	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	
F-DOT-A40524-OR:	US 30, WARREN-SCAPPOOSE UNIT, ST. HELENS AND COLUMBIA COUNTIES, OREGON	K
NF-FHW-A41155-NC:	US 64, ROSMAN TO BREVARD, TRANSYLVANIA COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	E
NF-FHW-A41280-FL:	FL-794, PALM BEACH COUNTY FLORIDA	E
F-FHW-A42201-FL:	OLD SPANISH TRAIL, CHIPLEY STREET TO FL-289, ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA	E
F-FHW-A42266-OR:	DIVIDE-ANLAUF SECTION, PACIFIC HIGHWAY, I-5, DOUGLAS AND LANE COUNTIES, OREGON	K
F-FHW-E40024-TN:	US 51, NORTHWEST COUNTY HIGHWAY, SHELBY COUNTY, TENNESSEE	E
F-FHW-H40004-KS:	US 54, KINGMAN AND PRATT COUNTIES, KANSAS	H
F-FHW-H40008-IA:	IA-2, LEE COUNTY, IOWA	H
F-FHW-I40005-OR:	WEST PORTLAND PARK AND RIDE, OREGON	K
F-FHW-I40013-OR:	ELK RIVER, US 101, PORT ORFORD SECTION, OREGON COAST HIGHWAY, CURRY COUNTY, OREGON	K

APPENDIX V

REGULATIONS, LEGISLATION AND OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN OCTOBER 1, 1975 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION			
R-FAA-A51907-00:	14 CFR PART 152, PROCESSING AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT ACTIONS AFFECTING THE ENVIRONMENT	EPA OFFERED SUBSTANTIVE COMMENTS PERTAINING TO THE AIR, NOISE, WATER, AND SOLID WASTE IMPLICATIONS OF THE PROPOSED RULES.	A

APPENDIX VI

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

A. Public Information Reference Unit
Environmental Protection Agency
Room 2922, Waterside Mall, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460

B. Director of Public Affairs, Region I
Environmental Protection Agency
John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

C. Director of Public Affairs, Region II
Environmental Protection Agency
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

D. Director of Public Affairs, Region III
Environmental Protection Agency
Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106

E. Director of Public Affairs, Region IV
Environmental Protection Agency
1421 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309

F. Director of Public Affairs, Region V
Environmental Protection Agency
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

G. Director of Public Affairs, Region VI
Environmental Protection Agency
1600 Patterson Street
Dallas, Texas 75201

H. Director of Public Affairs, Region VII
Environmental Protection Agency
1735 Baltimore Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64108

I. Director of Public Affairs, Region VIII
Environmental Protection Agency
1860 Lincoln Street
Denver, Colorado 80203

J. Director of Public Affairs, Region IX
Environmental Protection Agency
100 California Street
San Francisco, California 94111

K. Director of Public Affairs, Region X
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

102 Monitor

The 102 Monitor is the monthly publication of the Council on Environmental Quality. In addition to its listing of environmental impact statements which have been filed with the Council under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Monitor contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; and information on the environmental studies of the Council.

The 102 Monitor is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the Monitor is $21.50 annually, with an additional $5.40 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the Monitor are $1.80 from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the 102 Monitor should be referred to GPO's Service Section, P.O. Box 1533, Washington, D.C. 20013)

*U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE:1975 211-480/5 1-3

Order Blank

To Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

Date ....., 19.....

Name .....

Street address .....

City .....

State ..... Zip Code .....

FOR USE OF SUPT. OF DOCS.	
.....	Enclosed .....
.....	To be mailed later .....
.....	Subscription .....
	Refund .....
	Coupon Refund .....
	Postage .....

CATALOG NO.	QUANTITY DESIRED	TITLE OF PUBLICATION	PRICE PER COPY	TOTAL
				$.....

FOR ADDITIONAL SPACE ATTACH ANOTHER SHEET. TOTAL AMOUNT ENCLOSED.. $.....

IMPORTANT

Please include your ZIP CODE when filling out the mailing label below.

.....

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
PUBLIC DOCUMENTS DEPARTMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20402

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

RETURN AFTER 5 DAYS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

Name .....

Street address .....

City and State ..... ZIP Code .....